

## ERRATA LIST

This errata sheet lists errors and their corrections for the doctoral thesis of Simon Olof Anders Thalén with the title "Cardiovascular Magnetic Resonance Evaluation of Effusive and Constrictive Physiologies" with ISBN 978-91-8017-279-0.

Section/Page/Line	Correction/Text added/Corrected text in cursive
Title sheet, under opponent	Subha <i>Raman</i> <i>OhioHealth Research Institute</i>
Section 3.1, page 28, line 21	Three patients were excluded from the analysis, two due to inaccurate slice planning and one due to a highly irregular breathing pattern, leaving a total of (n=24) patients included in the final analysis. In addition, one patient with 35 mm pericardial effusion and one patient with constrictive pericarditis were included as case studies.
Section 3.1, page 29, line 3	Measurements from two volunteers were excluded due to unevaluable image quality in at least one of the two acquisitions leaving a total of (n=13) included in the final analysis.
Section 3.8, page 37, line 5	<i>2-way mixed-effects</i> model
Section 4.2, page 45, line 5	The intraclass correlation (ICC) 0.71 [0.3–0.9] for mitral and 0.89 [0.69–0.97] for tricuspid respiratory variation. The mean absolute error was 13% and 7% respectively.
Section 4.2, page 45, line 9	ICC was 0.99 [0.98–0.99] for mitral and 0.98 [0.95–0.99] for tricuspid respiratory variation.
Section 4.2, page 46, figure 24	Test-retest tricuspid should read <i>test-retest mitral</i> and vice versa. Similarly, inter-observer tricuspid should read <i>inter-observer mitral</i> and vice versa.
Section 5.6, page 54, line 10	Reference 19 should be: Galderisi M, Benjamin EJ, Evans JC, D’Agostino RB, Fuller DL, Lehman B, et al. Intra- and interobserver reproducibility of Doppler-assessed indexes of left ventricular diastolic function in a population-based study (the Framingham Heart Study). <i>Am J Cardiol.</i> 1992 Nov 15;70(15):1341–6.

Section 5.6, page 54, line 11	Reference 20 should be: Frikha Z, Girerd N, Huttin O, Courand PY, Bozec E, Olivier A, et al. Reproducibility in echocardiographic assessment of diastolic function in a population based study (the STANISLAS Cohort study). PloS One. 2015;10(4):e0122336.
Section 5.6, page 54, line 12	Reference 21 should be: Bahrami HSZ, Pedersen FHG, Myhr KA, Møgelvang R, Hassager C. Feasibility, repeatability, and reproducibility of contemporary diastolic parameters and classification. Int J Cardiovasc Imaging. 2021 Mar 1;37(3):931–44.
Section 5.6, page 54 line 15, 29	Reference 22 should be: Palmieri V, Arezzi E, Sabatella M, Celentano A. Interstudy reproducibility of parameters of left ventricular diastolic function: a Doppler echocardiography study. J Am Soc Echocardiogr Off Publ Am Soc Echocardiogr. 2003 Nov;16(11):1128–35.
Section 5.6, page 54, line 26	Reference 23 should be: Thorstensen A, Dalen H, Amundsen BH, Aase SA, Stoylen A. Reproducibility in echocardiographic assessment of the left ventricular global and regional function, the HUNT study. Eur J Echocardiogr. 2010 Mar 1;11(2):149–56.
Section 5.6, page 54, line 28	Reference 24 should be: D’Errico L, Lamacie MM, Jimenez Juan L, Deva D, Wald RM, Ley S, et al. Effects of slice orientation on reproducibility of sequential assessment of right ventricular volumes and ejection fraction: short-axis vs transverse SSFP cine cardiovascular magnetic resonance. J Cardiovasc Magn Reson. 2016 Sep 22;18(1):60.