

Karolinska Institutet http://openarchive.ki.se

This is a Peer Reviewed Accepted version of the following article, accepted for publication in Pharmacoepidemiology & Drug Safety.

2018-11-26

Agreement between asthma questionnaire and health care register data

Hedman, Anna M; Gong, Tong; Lundholm, Cecilia; Dahlén, Elin; Ullemar, Vilhelmina; Brew, Bronwyn K; Almqvist, Catarina

Pharmacoepidemiol Drug Saf. 2018 Oct;27(10):1139-1146. http://doi.org/10.1002/pds.4566 http://hdl.handle.net/10616/46575

If not otherwise stated by the Publisher's Terms and conditions, the manuscript is deposited under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-NoDerivatives License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/), which permits non-commercial re-use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited, and is not altered, transformed, or built upon in any way. Copyright © 2018 John Wiley & Sons. This article may be used for non-commercial purposes in accordance with Wiley Terms and Conditions for Use of Self-Archived Versions. This article may not be enhanced, enriched or otherwise transformed into a derivative work, without express permission from Wiley or by statutory rights under applicable legislation. Copyright notices must not be removed, obscured or modified. The article must be linked to Wiley's version of record on Wiley Online Library and any embedding, framing or otherwise making available the article or pages thereof by third parties from platforms, services and websites other than Wiley Online Library must be prohibited.

1

2 Agreement between asthma questionnaire and health care register data

- ³ **Running title:** Agreement between questionnaire and health care register data
- 4

5 Authors

- 6 Anna M. Hedman¹,
- 7 Tong Gong¹,
- 8 Cecilia Lundholm¹,
- 9 Elin Dahlén^{1,2},
- 10 Vilhelmina Ullemar¹,
- 11 Bronwyn K. Brew¹,
- 12 Catarina Almqvist^{1,3}.
- 13 Affiliations
- ¹Department of Medical Epidemiology and Biostatistics, Karolinska Institutet, Stockholm, Sweden.
- 15 ²Department of Medicine, Centre for Pharmacoepidemiology, Karolinska Institutet, Stockholm, Sweden
- ³Pediatric Allergy and Pulmonology Unit, Astrid Lindgren Children's Hospital, Karolinska University
- 17 Hospital, Stockholm, Sweden
- 18
- 19 **Corresponding author:**
- 20 Anna M. Hedman
- 21 Department of Medical Epidemiology and Biostatistics
- 22 PO Box 281, Karolinska Institutet, SE-171 77 Stockholm, Sweden
- 23 E-mail: anna.hedman@ki.se
- 24 Keywords: asthma, health care register, pharmacoepidemiology, questionnaire, agreement study
- 25 Key points (take home message):

- Parental-reported question on asthma ever can function as a proxy for asthma medication and
- 27 diagnosis in research
- Parental-reported current asthma has good agreement with register-based records in the last 18
 months
- 30
- 31 Word count: 3081
- 32

33 Abstract

34 *Purpose:* Risk factors and consequences of asthma can be studied using validated questionnaires. The

35 overall objective of this study was to assess the agreement of parental-reported asthma related

36 questions regarding their children against Swedish health care registers.

37 *Methods:* We linked a population-based twin cohort of 27,055 children aged 9-12 years, to the Swedish

38 Prescribed Drug Register, National Patient Register and the Primary care register. Parent-reported

39 asthma was obtained from questionnaires and diagnoses and medication were retrieved from the

40 registers. For the agreement between the questionnaire and the registers, Cohen's kappa was estimated.

41 Results The kappa of the 'reported ever asthma' against a 'register-based ever asthma' was 0.69 and

42 0.57 between the parental-'reported doctor's diagnosis' and 'register-based doctor's diagnosis' '. The

43 highest agreement between 'reported current asthma' and 'register- based current asthma' with at least

44 one dispensed medication or a diagnosis applied to different time-windows was seen for an 18 month

45 window (kappa=0.70).

46 *Conclusions* We found that parent-reported asthma-related questions showed on average good
 47 agreement with the Swedish health care registers. This implies that in depth questionnaires with rich
 48 information on phenotypes are suitable proxies for asthma in general and can be used for health care
 49 research purposes.

50

52 Introduction

53

To study risk factors and consequences of asthma, validated instruments for defining asthma in studies using registers, clinical cohorts and questionnaires are needed. Numerous efforts have been made to ensure that specific questionnaires detecting asthma are standardized, repeatable and can be applied consistently across a range of geographical and cultural settings (1, 2). Both the European Community Respiratory Health Survey (1) and the International Study on Asthma and Allergies in Childhood (ISAAC) (2) were developed, where possible, from pre-existing published questionnaires, where validity had been previously assessed (3, 4).

61 Several studies have validated questions on 'reported ever asthma' (5-7) and symptom-based outcomes 62 (e.g., wheeze, waking at night, coughing) (8-11), whereas others have focused on doctor's diagnosis (6) 63 or taking asthma medication (6). Other examples of asthma validation studies include symptoms-based 64 questions against; clinical evaluations (5, 8), structured interviews (12) and patient records of a diagnosis 65 (13). The standardized ISAAC guestionnaire (2) has a specific guestion on 'reported ever asthma' for 66 which validity in other countries has been assessed (5, 7) but not in Sweden. In addition, to our 67 knowledge, no study has assessed the validity of the questions describing 'reported current asthma' and 68 doctor's diagnosis from the ISAAC questionnaire. Furthermore, timing of dispenses has been investigated 69 in a previous study in which agreement between reported and prescribed asthma medication was 70 highest within an 18-months window (14).

Questionnaire studies are relatively low cost, time-efficient, and permit large sample sizes compared to clinical data collections (15, 16). On the other hand, questionnaires are often restricted to specific age groups or specific areas, which can reduce generalizability to other populations (17, 18). Identifying affected individuals often involves retrospective questioning about doctor's diagnosis and previous symptoms, therefore subject to recall bias (19, 20). By using population-based register data for asthma diagnoses and prescriptions, the data are objective and some of the aforementioned errors can be avoided particularly if the coverage of the register is high.

The overall objective for this study was to assess the agreement between parental-reported childhood
asthma questions from the nationwide twin cohort Childhood and Adolescent Twin Study in Sweden
(CATSS) and population-based Swedish health care registers (National Patient Register, NPR, which
contains all inpatient diagnoses and 75-80% of all outpatient diagnoses since 1987 and 2001 respectively,

82 Swedish Prescribed Drug Register, SPDR, which contains all prescribed medications that are dispensed at 83 Swedish pharmacies and Stockholm regional health care data warehouse, VAL, which holds all primary 84 care diagnoses from Stockholm county). Our first aim was to assess the agreement between 'reported 85 ever asthma' and a 'register-based ever asthma' algorithm (21) (at least 2 dispenses of asthma 86 medication or an asthma diagnosis) using SPDR and NPR and between 'reported doctor's diagnosis' and 87 'register-based doctor's diagnosis' from NPR and VAL. Our second aim was to assess the agreement 88 between 'reported asthma medications' and 'register-based asthma medications', with the 89 corresponding data within different time windows in the registers.

90

91 Method

92 Study population

This study included children participating in CATSS (22). CATSS is an ongoing longitudinal twin study
targeting all twins born in Sweden since July 1st, 1992 with an overall response rate of 76% until 2012.
Since 2004, in connection to the twin's 9th or 12th birthdays, parents are interviewed via telephone
regarding the children's somatic, social and mental health (22). CATSS is linked to the Swedish health
care registers, NPR, SPDR and VAL. The study was approved by the Regional Ethical Review Board in
Stockholm, Sweden. Informed consent was obtained.

99

100 Study design and data sources

101 This is an agreement study comparing parental interview answers with data from registers where no measure was considered gold standard(23). Several national health care registers are held by the 102 103 Swedish National Board of Health and Welfare such as the SPDR (24) and NPR (25). The SPDR contains 104 the Anatomical Therapeutic Chemical [ATC]-codes, date, number of packages, and when possible, daily 105 defined doses) and age, sex and PIN of the patient since July 2005. Information on primary and 106 secondary diagnoses according to the International Classification of Diseases 10th revision (ICD -10) is 107 included in the NPR since 1997. All data were de-identified prior to analyses and kept on a secure server. 108 Linking data from multiple registers is made possible through the Swedish unique personal identity 109 number (PIN) (26).

110

111 Sub-cohorts

112 The full cohort consisted of N=27,055 twins that were interviewed up until the end of 2012. From this 113 population we derived two sub-cohorts. The first sub-cohort, the National cohort, consisted of twins whose parents had completed the interview since July 1st 2006 (n=18,725). This date was selected 114 115 because July 1st 2005 was the date that the SPDR was established, covering the whole Swedish 116 population. By selecting this date we were able to retrospectively collect information on dispensed 117 medication from the SPDR for at least one year to assess 'register-based ever asthma'. The second sub-118 cohort, the Stockholm cohort, included twins that were born from 2001 and who had been living in 119 Stockholm all years between birth and the interview (n=2,226). This subgroup of twins was selected 120 since information on both specialist (NPR) and primary care was available for Stockholm County from 121 2003 by the VAL registry. The reason we selected individuals born from 2001 was because a child with 122 asthma before the age of two years usually comes in contact with the specialist care and gets a diagnosis 123 in the National Patient Register (NPR).

124

125

126 Measures of ever and current asthma in the National cohort

We defined a 'reported ever asthma' case as a child with a positive answer to the question '*Does he/she have, or has he/she had asthma?*' Everyone that replied positive was then directed to other asthma related questions (see Panel 1). 'Reported current asthma' was then defined as reporting positively to the question '*Does he/she still have asthma?*'.

The 'register-based ever asthma' algorithm based on SPDR and NPR data has been previously described and validated (21). The age-specific positive predicted value (PPV) from that validation study was 0.89 (95% CI: 0.85-0.92) for ages between 4.5-17 years. In brief, the 'register-based ever asthma' is defined as either: 1) asthma medication of \geq 2 dispenses of ICS (inhaled corticosteroid, ATC code: R03BA) and/or LTRA (leukotriene receptor agonist, ATCcode: R03DC03) and/or β 2-ICS (combination of inhaled beta-2 agonist and inhaled corticosteroid, ATCcodes: R03AK06 and R03AK07) independent of time between, and/or 2) \geq 3 dispenses of ICS and/or LTRA and/or β 2-ICS and/or β 2 (inhaled beta-2 agonist, ATC code: R03AC) within a 12-month period (prior to the date of the interview) or 3) an asthma diagnosis (ICD-10
diagnostic codes: J45, and J46) from NPR.

To define 'register-based current asthma' from the SPDR we used the same register-based algorithm as for 'register-based ever asthma' (21) in combination with conditions placed on recent time-windows for asthma diagnosis (ICD-10 diagnostic codes: J45, and J46) from NPR respectively in the last 6, 12, 18 and 24 months prior to the date of the interview. Instead of a recent diagnosis we also conditioned on at least one dispensed medication (ICS, LTRA, B2, β 2-ICS) from the SPDR. We wanted to investigate several time windows to see which one captured the 'reported current asthma' question best and to evaluate if it would be enough using only dispensed medication.

147

148 Measures of a doctor's diagnosis in the Stockholm cohort

149 A parental-'reported doctor's diagnosis' was defined as answering 'yes' to the asthma gated question

150 ('reported ever asthma') plus answering 'yes' to: 'You said that he/she has or has had asthma, has

151 *he/she been given that diagnosis by a doctor or nurse?*

152 'Register-based doctor's diagnosis' was defined based on at least one primary or secondary diagnosis of

asthma up until the time of the interview by ICD-10 codes (J45, and J46) from NPR (inpatient or

154 outpatient) or VAL (primary care).

155

156 Measures of asthma medications in the National cohort

157 Parental-'Reported asthma medications' was defined as answering 'yes' to the asthma gated question

158 plus answering 'yes' to the following question: '*Does your child currently take any asthma-medication*

(inhalator, spray or tablets)?' This question was added in 2010 as an update to the original

160 questionnaire, so not included for everyone (n=9860).

- 161 'Register-based asthma medications' was defined as at least one dispensed asthma medication (ICS,
- 162 LTRA, $\beta 2$, $\beta 2$ -ICS) from SPDR during the last , 6, 12, 18 and 24 months prior to the interview.

163

164 Statistical analyses

165 Agreement between register data and questionnaire data was assessed, using Cohen's kappa statistic,

166 with 95% Confidence Interval (CI). The percentage of overall agreement was also estimated. Cohen's

167 kappa is a measure of agreement beyond what would be expected by pure randomness. A kappa over

168 0.75 is characterized as excellent, a kappa of 0.40-0.75 is considered fair to good, and 0.40 and below as

169 poor (27).

170 Statistical Analysis Software 9.4 (SAS Institute, Cary, NC) was used for all analyses.

171

172 **Results**

173 Table 1 lists the demographic characteristics of the sub-cohorts of the CATSS study; the National and

174 Stockholm cohorts. In general, the characteristics of the smaller Stockholm cohort were very similar to

the much larger National cohort. The prevalence of 'reported ever asthma' was slightly higher in the

176 Stockholm cohort (17.4%), compared to the national cohort (14.5%). However, 'reported current

asthma' (7.1%) did not differ substantially between cohorts.

178

179 'Reported ever asthma'

The kappa statistic of 'reported ever asthma' and 'register-based ever asthma' was 0.69, indicating good
agreement. The overall agreement was 92.1% (Table 2).

182

183 Doctor's diagnosis

The comparison between the parental 'reported doctor's diagnosis' and the 'register-based doctor's
diagnosis' from NPR or VAL showed a kappa of 0.57, indicating a fair to good agreement. The overall
agreement was 86.3% (Table 2).

187

188 'Current Asthma'

189 Table 3 shows the agreement between 'reported current asthma' and 'register-based current asthma'

190 with time-specific criteria for at least one dispensed medication or diagnosis within four different time-

191 windows. For 6 months the kappa statistic was 0.59, 0.67 and 0.70 for 12 and 18 months respectively

and 0.70 for 24 months. When diagnosis was removed from the 'reported current asthma' definition the

agreement just slightly decreased for all of the time-windows with a kappa of 0.56, 0.65, 0.69 and 0.69

respectively. At all time-windows the overall agreement was very high (95% to 96%).

195

196 Taking asthma medications

Table 4 shows the agreement between 'reported asthma medications' by parent and 'register-based
asthma medications', any asthma medication dispensed from SPDR in different time-windows. The
kappa increased from 0.52 for 6 months before the interview to 0.57 for 12 months and then slightly
increased for 18 months before the interview to 0.59. For a time-window of 24 months the kappa
decreased to 0.55. The overall agreement ranged between 78-80%.

202

203 **Discussion**

We found good agreement between 'reported ever asthma' and 'register-based ever asthma' (kappa=0.69) and fair to good agreement (kappa=0.57) between parental-'reported doctor's diagnosis' and 'register-based asthma diagnosis'. We also found good agreement (kappa= 0.70) between 'reported current asthma' and 'register-based current asthma', conditional on at least one dispensed medication or a diagnosis within the last 18-months. Finally, we found the best agreement between 'reported asthma medications' by parents and 'register-based asthma medications' within the last 18 months (kappa=0.59).

211

.

Previous and similar studies that assessed the validity of the question on **'reported ever asthma'** against a clinical diagnosis found high sensitivity (i.e., proportion with a diagnosis that are correctly identified as such), 0.87 in one study (7) and good sensitivity, 0.68, in another one (28). Valle et al. used a younger cohort than ours, 6-7 years old children and they used a golden standard (i.e., clinical diagnosis) which could potentially explain why they found a high estimate of sensitivity (0.87) (7). Marco et al. investigated adults and found good validity (sensitivity of 0.68) while we studied children and found
good agreement (kappa =0.69) (28). In addition, among university students the question on 'reported
ever asthma' was validated against a variable combining physician's interview, methacholine challenge,
skin prick test and specific IgE which reported a low sensitivity (0.40) and a good PPV (0.76) (5). Thus,
large heterogeneity in terms of sensitivity, PPV and Kappa exists between the different studies (agegroups and comparison variables) when assessing the validity of 'reported ever asthma' from a
questionnaire.

224 We have previously validated the register based comparison variable 'register-based ever asthma' 225 against medical records with high positive predictive values (0.89 95% CI: 0.85-0.92) for clinical asthma 226 diagnosis (21). This definition of asthma has been applied in several studies as a proxy for ever/incident 227 asthma (29-33) as well as for current/prevalent asthma (30).. Another study investigating 'reported 228 doctor's diagnosis' found a moderate PPV of 0.57 when the question of parental-reported doctor's 229 diagnosis was validated against asthma medication reimbursement in a younger cohort (6). The 230 question of doctor's diagnosis has also been validated against a structured interview among 7-8 years old 231 which found excellent PPV (0.98) and good sensitivity (approx. 0.70) (12). There are many reasons to our 232 fairly low kappa estimate, for example asthma diagnosis in low ages is fairly unspecific and those who 233 answered no to the question on 'reported ever asthma' never got the question on doctor's diagnosis 234 (see Figure 1). In addition, the kappa could be due to both over-(the register may not have coverage) 235 and underreporting since a diagnosis given a long time ago may be forgotten if the child has no current 236 asthma symptoms or medication. However, this does not imply per se that our comparison variable is 237 poor.

238 We estimated the agreement between 'reported current asthma' and 'register-based current asthma' 239 with different time windows. We found the 18-month window to be preferable with a kappa of 0.70, 240 which indicates a good agreement. Interestingly, when only using medication data the resulting kappa 241 just decreased slightly giving us confidence that our 'register-based current asthma' including one 242 recently dispensed medication is almost as good a proxy for 'reported current asthma' as when using 243 both medication and diagnosis. Recall bias has shown not to be influential within the last 12 months in a 244 recent study of self-reported hospital admissions compared to administrative records (34). In light of 245 this, it is not surprising that reported 'current' asthma (with no timeframes in the question) equals 'in the 246 last 18 months'. Possible explanations could be purchasing large amounts of medicines irregularly, 247 having mild asthma which does not require medications or sharing medicines with other family members (14). We were unable to find any other studies that had assessed the validity of 'reported current
asthma'. Current wheeze is more common to validate in childhood than current asthma, for example
current wheeze (or wheezing symptoms within the last 12 months) is included in the ISAAC
questionnaire and applied in other validation studies (6, 7). However, wheeze is a symptom and asthma
is a condition/disease and children with wheeze do not necessarily need to have asthma. Therefore

those studies using 'current wheeze' are limited in generalizing their findings to asthma.

254 We found a fair agreement between 'reported asthma medications' and 'register-based asthma 255 medications' with a kappa ranging from 0.52 to 0.59 depending on time-window. Nwaru et al. validated 256 a question on parental-reported asthma medication during the last 12 months against the asthma 257 medication reimbursement and reported excellent sensitivity and perfect NPV (6). One difference 258 between our study and that of Nwaru et al. was that their question included a clear time-window, while 259 ours was vaguer and left it to the parents to interpret the meaning of 'current'. Koster et al., 2010 found 260 substantial agreement between parental reported ICS use and pharmacy register based ICS use (35). 261 Their study also had an explicit time-period (i.e., 12 months) and differences in prevalence compared to 262 our study, which affects the kappa. We rather chose to estimate agreement between the answers and 263 register-based information on dispensed medications within four different time frames and we found 18 264 months to have the best agreement. Importantly, the same time-window of 18 months was found 265 preferable in a previous study on the concordance between register data on dispensed drugs and 266 parental-reported use of asthma drugs in adolescents (14). If all patients had complied with the 267 prescribed treatment, we would probably have an even better register-based measure of asthma, which 268 would be more in agreement with the questionnaire information on whether the child have asthma or 269 not.

270

271 Strengths and limitations

The CATSS study has nationwide coverage and high response rate which strengthen the generalizability of the findings and decrease possible selection bias. Another strength is that data are retrieved from the Swedish health care registers. Register data enable us to obtain an objective measure based on dispensed medication from the SPDR and diagnoses from the NPR. In addition, in Stockholm County, primary health care centers (PHCC) have reported all diagnostic information (ICD-codes) to VAL since 2003. Therefore we restricted the Stockholm cohort to only include those who were born and lived in

278 Stockholm all years (and not just temporary). One could argue that being a twin may affect the 279 generalizability of the findings. However, it has been shown in the age-range between 5 to 18 years that 280 the prevalence of asthma is not higher in twins compared to singletons (30). Therefore, including twins 281 should not affect the generalizability of the findings. Another limitation is the smaller sample size for 282 'reported asthma medications', as this question was added to the interviews in year 2010. In addition, 283 young children with wheeze and shortness of breath might not always end up with an asthma diagnose 284 by a doctor, therefore there may be some under-representation in the parental-reported 'ever asthma'. 285 We were not able to capture those children and their symptoms in the current study. Furthermore, 286 although a binary measure of asthma is very common in registers and questionnaire based-studies, we 287 recognize that a binary outcome does not accurately represent the heterogeneity of asthma phenotypes 288 and persistence. However, since register-based outcomes for asthma are used widely in the Nordic 289 countries and other countries such as Canada, USA and Korea we felt it was important to test the 290 agreements of commonly used binary outcomes. Registers have the advantages of being cost-effective 291 and are population-based therefore improving generalizability and statistical power. In depth 292 questionnaire-based cohort studies can provide more information on the various asthma phenotypes 293 important for clinical applications but can suffer from recall-bias and are often smaller hence providing 294 less power for epidemiological questions, and may be less generalizable.

295

296 **Conclusions**

297 We found that parent-reported asthma-related questions showed on average good agreement with the

Swedish health care registers which can be applied for health care research purposes. Valid screening
 instruments such as questionnaires, registers, clinical cohorts and patient charts can improve

300 epidemiological research to address various questions on risk factors and consequences of asthma.

301

302 Acknowledgements

303 Financial support was provided from the Swedish Research Council through the Swedish Initiative for

304 Research on Microdata in the Social And Medical Sciences (SIMSAM) framework grant no 340-2013-

305 5867, grants provided by the Stockholm County Council (ALF-projects), the Strategic Research Program in

306 Epidemiology at Karolinska Institutet, the Swedish Heart-Lung Foundation and the Swedish Asthma and

- 307 Allergy Association's Research Foundation. Bronwyn Brew was supported by a COFAS Marie-Curie post-
- doc grant from FORTE.

309 References

Burney PG, Luczynska C, Chinn S, Jarvis D. The European Community Respiratory Health Survey.
 Eur Respir J. 1994;7(5):954-60.

Asher MI, Keil U, Anderson HR, Beasley R, Crane J, Martinez F, et al. International Study of
 Asthma and Allergies in Childhood (ISAAC): rationale and methods. Eur Respir J. 1995;8(3):483-91.
 Burney PG, Laitinen LA, Perdrizet S, Huckauf H, Tattersfield AE, Chinn S, et al. Validity and
 repeatability of the IUATLD (1984) Bronchial Symptoms Questionnaire: an international comparison. Eur

316 Respir J. 1989;2(10):940-5.

Shaw RA, Crane J, Pearce N, Burgess CD, Bremner P, Woodman K, et al. Comparison of a video
questionnaire with the IUATLD written questionnaire for measuring asthma prevalence. Clinical and
experimental allergy : journal of the British Society for Allergy and Clinical Immunology. 1992;22(5):5618.

5. Kilpelainen M, Terho EO, Helenius H, Koskenvuo M. Validation of a new questionnaire on
 asthma, allergic rhinitis, and conjunctivitis in young adults. Allergy. 2001;56(5):377-84.

323 6. Nwaru BI, Lumia M, Kaila M, Luukkainen P, Tapanainen H, Erkkola M, et al. Validation of the
324 Finnish ISAAC questionnaire on asthma against anti-asthmatic medication reimbursement database in 5325 year-old children. The clinical respiratory journal. 2011;5(4):211-8.

Valle SO, Kuschnir FC, Sole D, Silva MA, Silva RI, Da Cunha AJ. Validity and reproducibility of the
asthma core International Study of Asthma and Allergies in Childhood (ISAAC) written questionnaire
obtained by telephone survey. The Journal of asthma : official journal of the Association for the Care of
Asthma. 2012;49(4):390-4.

Redline S, Larkin EK, Kercsmar C, Berger M, Siminoff LA. Development and validation of school based asthma and allergy screening instruments for parents and students. Annals of allergy, asthma &
 immunology : official publication of the American College of Allergy, Asthma, & Immunology.
 2003;90(5):516-28.

Wolf RL, Berry CA, Quinn K. Development and validation of a brief pediatric screen for asthma
 and allergies among children. Annals of allergy, asthma & immunology : official publication of the
 American College of Allergy, Asthma, & Immunology. 2003;90(5):500-7.

Busi LE, Sly PD, Llancaman L. Validation of a questionnaire for asthma case identification in pre schools in Latin America. Respirology. 2015;20(6):912-6.

11. Norrman E, Plaschke P, Bjornsson E, Rosenhall L, Lundback B, Jansson C, et al. Prevalence of
 bronchial hyper-responsiveness in the southern, central and northern parts of Sweden. Respiratory
 medicine. 1998;92(3):480-7.

Ronmark E, Jonsson E, Platts-Mills T, Lundback B. Different pattern of risk factors for atopic and
 nonatopic asthma among children--report from the Obstructive Lung Disease in Northern Sweden Study.
 Allergy. 1999;54(9):926-35.

34513.Cornish RP, Henderson J, Boyd AW, Granell R, Van Staa T, Macleod J. Validating childhood346asthma in an epidemiological study using linked electronic patient records. BMJ Open. 2014;4(4).

14. Dahlen E, Almqvist C, Bergstrom A, Wettermark B, Kull I. Factors associated with concordance
between parental-reported use and dispensed asthma drugs in adolescents: findings from the BAMSE
birth cohort. Pharmacoepidemiol Drug Saf. 2014;23(9):942-9.

Pekkanen J, Sunyer J, Anto JM, Burney P, European Community Respiratory Health S. Operational
 definitions of asthma in studies on its aetiology. Eur Respir J. 2005;26(1):28-35.

16. Kemp T, Pearce N, Crane J, Beasley R. Problems of measuring asthma prevalence. Respirology.
1996;1(3):183-8.

Flohr C, Weinmayr G, Weiland SK, Addo-Yobo E, Annesi-Maesano I, Bjorksten B, et al. How well
do questionnaires perform compared with physical examination in detecting flexural eczema? Findings
from the International Study of Asthma and Allergies in Childhood (ISAAC) Phase Two. Br J Dermatol.
2009;161(4):846-53.

358 18. Smeeton NC, Rona RJ, Oyarzun M, Diaz PV. Agreement between responses to a standardized
359 asthma questionnaire and a questionnaire following a demonstration of asthma symptoms in adults. Am
360 J Epidemiol. 2006;163(4):384-91.

Ferris BG. Epidemiology Standardization Project (American Thoracic Society). The American
 review of respiratory disease. 1978;118(6 Pt 2):1-120.

Rönmark E, Lundbäck B, Jönsson E, Rönmark E, Lundbäck B, Platts-mills T. Different pattern of
 risk factors for atopic and nonatopic asthma among children – report from the Obstructive Lung Disease
 in Northern Sweden Study. Allergy. 1999;54(9):926-35.

Ortqvist AK, Lundholm C, Wettermark B, Ludvigsson JF, Ye W, Almqvist C. Validation of asthma
and eczema in population-based Swedish drug and patient registers. Pharmacoepidemiol Drug Saf.
2013;22(8):850-60.

Anckarsater H, Lundstrom S, Kollberg L, Kerekes N, Palm C, Carlstrom E, et al. The Child and
 Adolescent Twin Study in Sweden (CATSS). Twin Res Hum Genet. 2011;14(6):495-508.

23. Douglas AG. Practical statistics for medical research. Chapman and Hall/CRC. 1990:624.

Wettermark B, Hammar N, Fored CM, Leimanis A, Otterblad Olausson P, Bergman U, et al. The
new Swedish Prescribed Drug Register--opportunities for pharmacoepidemiological research and
experience from the first six months. Pharmacoepidemiol Drug Saf. 2007;16(7):726-35.

Ludvigsson JF, Andersson E, Ekbom A, Feychting M, Kim JL, Reuterwall C, et al. External review
and validation of the Swedish national inpatient register. BMC Public Health. 2011;11:450.

Ludvigsson JF, Otterblad-Olausson P, Pettersson BU, Ekbom A. The Swedish personal identity
number: possibilities and pitfalls in healthcare and medical research. Eur J Epidemiol. 2009;24(11):65967.

Fleiss JL. Statistical Methods for rates and proportions (2nd ed). New York. John Wiley.
1981;ISBN 0-471-26370-2.

de Marco R, Cerveri I, Bugiani M, Ferrari M, Verlato G. An undetected burden of asthma in Italy:
the relationship between clinical and epidemiological diagnosis of asthma. Eur Respir J. 1998;11(3):599605.

385 29. Ullemar V, Magnusson PK, Lundholm C, Zettergren A, Melen E, Lichtenstein P, et al. Heritability
386 and confirmation of genetic association studies for childhood asthma in twins. Allergy. 2016;71(2):230-8.

387 30. Ullemar V, Lundholm C, Almqvist C. Twins' risk of childhood asthma mediated by gestational age
 388 and birthweight. Clinical and experimental allergy : journal of the British Society for Allergy and Clinical
 389 Immunology. 2015;45(8):1328-36.

31. Fall T, Lundholm C, Ortqvist AK, Fall K, Fang F, Hedhammar A, et al. Early Exposure to Dogs and
Farm Animals and the Risk of Childhood Asthma. JAMA pediatrics. 2015;169(11):e153219.

392 32. Tedner SG, Lundholm C, Olsson H, Almqvist C. Depression or anxiety in adult twins is associated
393 with asthma diagnosis but not with offspring asthma. Clinical and experimental allergy : journal of the
394 British Society for Allergy and Clinical Immunology. 2016;46(6):803-12.

395 33. Ortqvist AK, Lundholm C, Kieler H, Ludvigsson JF, Fall T, Ye W, et al. Antibiotics in fetal and early

life and subsequent childhood asthma: nationwide population based study with sibling analysis. BMJ
(Clinical research ed). 2014;349:g6979.

398 34. Seidl H, Meisinger C, Kirchberger I, Burkhardt K, Kuch B, Holle R. Validity of self-reported hospital
admissions in clinical trials depends on recall period length and individual characteristics. Journal of
evaluation in clinical practice. 2016;22(3):446-54.

401 35. Koster ES, Wijga AH, Raaijmakers JA, Koppelman GH, Postma DS, Kerkhof M, et al. High

- 402 agreement between parental reported inhaled corticosteroid use and pharmacy prescription data.
- 403 Pharmacoepidemiol Drug Saf. 2010;19(11):1199-203.
- 404
- 405

| 406 | • <i>'Reported ever asthma'</i> : 'Does he/she have or has he/she had asthma'? |
|-----|--|
| 407 | if 'yes' then: |
| 408 | <i>Reported current asthma'</i>: 'Does he/she still have asthma'? <i>Reported doctor's diagnosis'</i>: 'You said that he/she has or has had asthma, |
| 409 | has he/she been given that diagnosis by a doctor or nurse'? |
| 410 | 'Reported asthma medications': 'Does your child currently take any asthma medication (inhalator, spray or tablets)'? |
| 411 | Panel 1. Figure of the asthma related questions from the questionnaire |
| 412 | |
| 413 | |
| 414 | |
| 415 | |
| 416 | |
| 417 | |
| 418 | |
| 419 | |
| 420 | |
| 421 | |
| 422 | |
| 423 | |
| 424 | |
| 425 | |
| 426 | |
| | |

430 Table 1. Participants' characteristics in the two cohorts

| | Category | National Cohort* (n=18725) | Stockholm Cohort # (n=2226) |
|--|-----------|-------------------------------|--------------------------------|
| Age at interview (mean± | | | |
| SD[range]); years | | 9.4±1.0 [8.5-12.6] | 9.2±0.4 [8.5-11.5] |
| Classified as 9 years old n (%) | | 16561 (88.4) | 2226 (100) |
| Sex | Male | 9495 (50.7) | 1158 (52.0) |
| | Female | 9230 (49.3) | 1068 (48.0) |
| 'Reported ever asthma' from | | | |
| questionnaire | No | 15866 (84.7) | 1822 (81.9) |
| • | Yes | 2720 (14.5) | 388 (17.4) |
| | Missing | 139 (0.7) | 16 (0.7) |
| 'Reported current asthma' | 11135118 | 100 (0.7) | 10 (017) |
| from questionnaire | No | 17324 (92.5) | 2059 (92.5) |
| | Yes | 1329 (7.1) | 158 (7.1) |
| | | 72 (0.4) | 9 (0.4) |
| <u></u> | Missing | 72 (0.4) | 9 (0.4) |
| 'Reported doctor's | | | |
| diagnosis' from | | | |
| questionnaire | No | 16071 (85.8) | 1846 (82.9) |
| | Yes | 2484 (13.3) | 360 (16.2) |
| | Missing | 170 (0.9) | 20 (0.9) |
| 'Reported asthma | | | |
| medications' from | | | |
| questionnaire (current)§ | No | 917 (9.3) | 254 (11.4) |
| | Yes | 492 (5.0) | 116 (5.2) |
| | Missing | 8451 (85.7) | 1856 (83.4) |
| 'Register-based ever asthma' Asthma defined ⁵ by dispensed medications from SPDR ¹ and/or a diagnosis | | | |
| from NPR ² | No | 15398 (82.2) | 1731 (77.8) |
| | Yes | 2831 (15.1) | 496 (21.1) |
| | Missing | 496 (2.63) | 26 (1.2) |
| 'Register-based asthma medications' Any asthma medications dispenses ever from SPDR ¹ | No Yes | 14752 (78.8) 3973 (21.2) | 1655 (74.3) 571 (25.7) |
| Any asthma diagnoses from | 103 | 5575 (21.2) | |
| NPR ² ever | No | 16202 (86 5) | |
| NFN-EVEI | | 16203 (86.5) | |
| 'Register-based doctor's diagnosis' Any asthma | Yes | 2522 (13.5) | |
| diagnoses from NPR ² or VAL ³ | | | |
| ever | No | | 1704 (76.6) |
| | Yes | | 522 (23.5) |
| Age at first diagnose (mean± | 1 | | |
| SD); years | | 4.0 (3.8) | 3.8 (3.6) |

| SES (Socio Economic Status) | | | |
|-----------------------------|---------------|---------------|--------------|
| from LISA ⁴ | 9 y completed | 294 (1.6) | 42 (1.9) |
| | 10-12 y | | |
| | completed | 7278 (38.9) | 554 (24.9) |
| | >12 y | | |
| | completed | 10907 (58.3) | 1594 (71.6) |
| | Missing | 246 (1.3) | 36 (1.6) |
| Answered questionnaire | Biological | | |
| (mother/father/other) | mother | 15827 (84.5) | 1818 (81.7) |
| Migrant background (Mother | No | 16421 (87.70) | 1808 (81.22) |
| or father born outside | | | |
| Scandinavia) | | | |
| | Yes | 2188 (12.23) | 400 (17.97) |
| | Missing | 116 (0.07) | 18 (0.81) |
| | Biological | | |
| | father | 2812 (15.02) | 382 (17.2) |
| | Other | 86 (0.5) | 26 (1.2) |
| | Missing | 0 | 0 |

431 *National cohort included twins in CATSS, whose parents completed the telephone interview since July 1st 2006.

432 #Stockholm cohort included twins in CATSS, born from 2001 in Stockholm and lived all years in Stockholm

433 § This question was added in 2010, in total n=9860 answered the questionnaire after this time-point

434 ¹=Swedish Prescribed Drug Register (SPDR)

435 ²=National Patient Register (NPR)

436 ³=Stockholm regional health care data warehouse (VAL)

437 4=Longitudinal integration database for health insurance and labor market studies (LISA) at the year when children were 5 years

438 5=Asthma medication of ≥ 2 dispenses of ICS and/or LTRA and/or B2-ICS independent of time between and/or ≥ 3 dispenses of

439 ICS and/or LRTA and/or B2-ICS and/or B2 within a 12 month period (prior to the date of the interview) and/or a diagnosis from
 440 NPR

441

442

443

444 Table 2. Agreement between 'reported ever asthma' and 'reported doctor's diagnosis' from the

questionnaire against SPDR, NPR and VAL in the National and the Stockholm cohort respectively.

| Questionnaire, q | Registers, r | Overall agreement %(n) | q⁺r⁻ n | q⁻r⁺ n | q⁺r⁺ n | q [.] r [.] n | Kappa, (95% CI) |
|--|---|---------------------------|-----------|-----------|-----------|------------------------------------|------------------|
| National cohort (n=18725) | | | | | | | |
| 'Reported ever asthma' (questionnaire) | 'Register- based ever asthma', Asthma defined by dispensed medications (SPDR) ^a or a diagnosis from NPR | 92.09 (16675/18107) | 646 | 786 | 2003 | 14672 | 0.69 (0.68-0.71) |
| Stockholm | 'Register- | | | | | | |
| Cohort | based | | | | | | |
| (n=2226) | doctor's diagnosis', | | | | | | |

| 'Reported | Any asthma | | | | | | | |
|-----------------|-------------|-------------|----|-----|-----|------|------|-------------|
| doctor's | diagnosis | | | | | | | |
| diagnosis' | from NPR or | 86.31 | | | | | | |
| (questionnaire) | VAL | (1904/2206) | 78 | 224 | 282 | 1622 | 0.57 | (0.53-0.61) |

Table 2 shows the National cohort and the number of participants who were either positive or negative on the questionnaire variable, q+ and q- respectively and positive or negative on the register variable, r+ and r- respectively.

448 a=Asthma medication of \geq 2 dispenses of ICS and/or LTRA and/or B2-ICS independent of time between and/or \geq 3 dispenses of

449 ICS and/or LRTA and/or B2-ICS and/or B2 within a 12 month period (prior to the date of the interview)

450 SPDR=Swedish Prescribed Drug Register

451 NPR=National Patient Register

452 VAL=Stockholm regional health care data warehouse

453 CI=Confidence Interval

454

455

456 Table 3. Agreement between 'reported current asthma' from the questionnaire and SPDR and NPR in the

457 National cohort, n=18725

| Questionnair e, q | Registers, r | Overall agreement %(n) | q⁺r- n | q⁻r⁺ n | q⁺r⁺ n | q⁻r⁻ n | Карра | (95% CI) |
|------------------------------|---------------------------------------|------------------------------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|--------|-------------|
| 'Reported | 'Register-based | | | | | | | |
| current asthma' | current asthma', | | | | | | | |
| (questionnaire) | Asthma defined by | | | | | | | |
| | dispensed | | | | | | | |
| | medications (SPDR) ^a | | | | | | | |
| | or a diagnosis from | | | | | | | |
| | NPR with at least one | | | | | | | |
| | dispensed medication | | | | | | | |
| | (any) or a diagnosis in | | | | | | | |
| | the last: | | | | | | | |
| | | 95.50 | | | | | | |
| | 6m | (17814/18653) | 671 | 168 | 658 | 17156 | 0.59 (| 0.56-0.61) |
| | | 95.94 | | | | | | > |
| | 12m | (17896/18653) | 472 | 285 | 857 | 17039 | 0.67 (| 0.65-0.69) |
| | 40 | 96.05 | 24.4 | 220 | 0.46 | 45007 | 0.70 | |
| | 18m | (15873/16526) | 314 | 339 | 846 | 15027 | 0.70 | (0.68-0.72) |
| | 24m | 95.76 | 225 | 202 | 700 | 12147 | 0.70 | (0.69.0.72) |
| Domonto d | | (13946/14563) | 225 | 392 | 799 | 13147 | 0.70 | (0.68-0.72) |
| 'Reported current asthma' | 'Register-based | | | | | | | |
| (questionnaire) | current asthma', Asthma defined by | | | | | | | |
| (questionnaire) | dispensed | | | | | | | |
| | medications (SPDR) ^a | | | | | | | |
| | or a diagnosis from | | | | | | | |
| | NPR with at least one | | | | | | | |
| | dispensed medication | | | | | | | |
| | in the last: | | | | | | | |
| | | 95.30 | | | | | | |
| | 6m | (17777/18653) | 726 | 150 | 603 | 17174 | 0.56 | (0.53-0.58) |
| | | 95.78 | | | | | | |
| | 12m | (17865/18653) | 522 | 266 | 807 | 17058 | 0.65 | (0.63-0.67) |

| | | | 96.00 | | | | | | (|
|-----|-------------------------------|----------------------------|-------------------|---------------|------------|-------------|------------|-----------|-------------|
| | | 18m | (15865/16526) | 347 | 314 | 813 | 15052 | 0.69 | (0.67-0.71) |
| | | | 95.76 | | | | | | |
| | | 24m | (13945/14563) | 249 | 369 | 775 | 13170 | 0.69 | (0.67-0.72) |
| 459 | ^a =Asthma medicati | on of ≥ 2 dispenses of ICS | and/or LTRA and/o | or B2-ICS ind | ependent o | f time betw | een and/or | ≥ 3 dispe | enses of |
| 460 | | nd/or B2-ICS and/or B2 wi | | | | | | | |
| 461 | CI=Confidence Inte | | | | | | - | | |
| 462 | | scribed Drug Register | | | | | | | |
| 463 | Si Dit-Swedisiri re. | | | | | | | | |
| 405 | | | | | | | | | |
| 464 | | | | | | | | | |
| -0- | | | | | | | | | |
| 465 | | | | | | | | | |
| 405 | | | | | | | | | |
| 466 | | | | | | | | | |
| 400 | | | | | | | | | |
| 467 | | | | | | | | | |
| -07 | | | | | | | | | |
| 468 | | | | | | | | | |
| -00 | | | | | | | | | |
| 469 | | | | | | | | | |
| -05 | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | |

470

471 Table 4. Agreement between 'reported asthma medications' from the questionnaire and SPDR in the National cohort, n=18725

| Questionnaire, q | Registers, r | Overall agreement % (n) | q⁺r⁻ n | q⁻r⁺ n | q⁺r⁺ n | q ⁻ r- n | Kappa (95% Cl) |
|---|--|-------------------------------|-----------|-----------|-----------|------------------------|---------------------|
| 'Reported asthma medications' (Questionnaire) ^a current | 'Register-based asthma medications', At least one asthma medication dispenses (SPDR) ^b in the last: | | | | | | |
| | | 79.49 | | | | | 0.52 |
| | 6 m | (1120/1409) | 215 | 74 | 277 | 843 | (0.47-0.56) |
| | 12m | 80.48 (1134/1409) | 137 | 138 | 355 | 779 | 0.57 (0.53-0.62) |
| | 18m | 80.13 (1129/1409) | 83 | 197 | 409 | 720 | 0.59 (0.54-0.63) |
| | 24m | 77.93 (1098/1409) | 59 | 252 | 433 | 665 | 0.55 (0.52-0.60) |

^a=This question was added in 2010, in total n=9860 answered the questionnaire after this timepoint

^b=Swedish Prescribed Drug Register (SPDR)

472 473 474 CI=Confidence Interval