



Karolinska  
Institutet

Karolinska Institutet

<http://openarchive.ki.se>

---

This is a Peer Reviewed Accepted version of the following article, accepted for publication in *Twin Research and Human Genetics*.

2017-03-14

# Predictors of adolescents' consent to use health records for research and results from data collection in a Swedish twin cohort

Ullemar, Vilhelmina; Lundholm, Cecilia; Örtqvist, Anne K; Hellner Gumpert, Clara; Anckarsäter, Henrik; Lundström, Sebastian; Almqvist, Catarina

---

*Twin Res Hum Genet.* 2015 Jun;18(3):256-65.

<http://doi.org/10.1017/thg.2015.21>

<http://hdl.handle.net/10616/45595>

*If not otherwise stated by the Publisher's Terms and conditions, the manuscript is deposited under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-NoDerivatives License (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/>), which permits non-commercial re-use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited, and is not altered, transformed, or built upon in any way.*

**Title** Predictors of adolescents' consent to use health records for research and results from data collection in a Swedish twin cohort

**Running title** Twins' consent to research using health records

**Authors and affiliations** Ullemar, Vilhelmina<sup>1</sup>, Lundholm, Cecilia<sup>1</sup>, Örtqvist, Anne K.<sup>1</sup>, Hellner Gumpert, Clara<sup>4</sup>, Anckarsäter, Henrik<sup>2</sup>, Lundström, Sebastian<sup>2,3</sup>, Almqvist, Catarina<sup>1,5</sup>.

1.Department of Medical Epidemiology and Biostatistics, Karolinska Institutet, Stockholm, Sweden

2.Centre for Ethics, Law and Mental Health (CELAM), University of Gothenburg, Sweden

3.Gillberg Neuropsychiatry Centre, University of Gothenburg, Sweden

4.Department of Clinical Neuroscience & Centre for Psychiatric Research, Karolinska Institutet, Stockholm Sweden

5.Astrid Lindgren Children's Hospital, Lung and Allergy Unit, Karolinska University Hospital, Stockholm, Sweden

**Corresponding author**

Vilhelmina Ullemar

Department of Medical Epidemiology and Biostatistics, Karolinska Institutet,

PO Box 281, SE-171 77, Stockholm, Sweden.

Phone: +46 8 524 82389

E-mail: vilhelmina.ullemar@ki.se

**Abstract (word count: 250)**

*Introduction:* Nonrandom selection into a study population due to differences between consenters and non-consenters may introduce participation bias. Past investigations of factors predicting consent to collection of medical health records for research imply that age, sex, health status and education are of importance for participation, but disagree on the direction of effects. Very little is known about influences on consent from adolescents.

*Methods:* Two cohorts of Swedish 15-year-old twins (total n=4,611) previously invited to the Child and Adolescent Twin Study in Sweden (CATSS) responded to a questionnaire with information on gender, individual's health, height, weight and parental factors. The questionnaire included a question for consent to collection of medical health records. Predictors for consent were analysed using logistic regression. Additionally, regional differences in the collection of health records of consenters were evaluated.

*Results:* Males were significantly less likely to consent compared to females (OR 0.74, 95% CI 0.64-0.85). The twin sibling's decision to consent was strongly associated with consent (OR 10.9, 95% CI 8.76-13.5), and individuals whose parents had responded to the original CATSS study were more likely to consent to record collection at age 15 (OR 2.2, 95% CI 1.81-2.75). Results of the subsequent collection of consenters' medical health records varied between geographical regions of Sweden.

*Conclusion:* We identified several predictors for adolescents' consent to collection of their medical health records. Further selection was introduced through the subsequent record

collection. Whether this will induce participation bias in future studies depends on the research questions' relationship to the identified predictors.

## **Main text (word count:3604)**

Research involving human subjects is an essential component of furthering the knowledge of etiology, characteristics and treatment of human medical conditions and disorders. The realisation of research relies on the ethical concerns for, and participation of, the study subjects. Informed consent – or an explicit waiver of the need for it from an ethical review board – is a cornerstone for recruitment of study participants for medical research.(Rothstein and Shoben, 2013) When the choice to consent is dependent on characteristics pertaining to the individual or context, the selection of participants to the study is consequently nonrandom, which introduces the possibility of bias in estimations of associations within the sample. (Groenwold *et al.*, 2013, Hernan *et al.*, 2004)

It has previously been demonstrated that individuals who consent to medical research participation may be different from those who decline participation in several ways, but consistent patterns are lacking. (Kho *et al.*, 2009) For example, several studies have shown that males were more likely to consent, (Damery *et al.*, 2011, Knies *et al.*, 2012, Matsui *et al.*, 2005, Schwartz *et al.*, 2005, Woolf *et al.*, 2000) whereas others have not demonstrated any sex difference in consent rates,(Al-Shahi *et al.*, 2005, Baker *et al.*, 2000, Beebe *et al.*, 2011, Buckley *et al.*, 2007, Huang *et al.*, 2007, Klassen *et al.*, 2005, McKinney *et al.*, 2005) and some have seen a higher likelihood of consent among females. (Dunn *et al.*, 2004, Kho *et al.*, 2009) Age of the potential study participants may play a part in the choice to consent. Previous studies have shown that relatively older individuals may be more (Beebe *et al.*, 2011, Damery *et al.*, 2011, Woolf *et al.*, 2000) or less (Dunn *et al.*, 2004, Huang *et al.*, 2007, Knies *et al.*, 2012, Matsui *et al.*, 2005, Schwartz *et al.*, 2005) likely to give consent compared to younger individuals in the same study, however variation in the age ranges of the study populations complicates comparisons between

the studies. Interestingly, an individual's health status could have an effect on consent choice. Some studies have described that being afflicted with some medical conditions or disabilities was associated with higher likelihood of consent. (Buckley *et al.*, 2007, Dunn *et al.*, 2004, Klassen *et al.*, 2005, McKinney *et al.*, 2005) However it is possible that this is to some degree specific to the conditions in question, as other studies report no association between their health outcome under study and consent (Baker *et al.*, 2000, Damery *et al.*, 2011) or that those suffering from certain diseases could indeed be less likely to provide consent. (Huang *et al.*, 2007, Jacobsen *et al.*, 1999, Schwartz *et al.*, 2005) Due to their focused scopes, these studies do not allow for deductions regarding whether the specific disease or its severity is a more important contributor to consent choice. An additional factor of importance for consent to research participation is the educational level of the potential study subject. Most reports including such information have concluded that individuals with a higher level of education are more inclined to agree to research participation. (Huang *et al.*, 2007, Knies *et al.*, 2012, Schwartz *et al.*, 2005)

Requests for consent to extract information from an individual's medical health records do not require in-person contact with the study participant. In this sense it entails low risk and little required effort for the individual compared to that associated with intervention studies or elements of observational studies requiring active participation. (Kho *et al.*, 2009) In addition, medical health records contain more objective measures compared to self-reported data. (Knies *et al.*, 2012) Nevertheless individuals may have concerns regarding the purposes of such research. (Hill *et al.*, 2013) Very little is known about factors predicting consent to collection of medical health records from adolescents.

Following consent to extraction of information from medical health records, the subsequent

retrieval and collection of these records may pose further challenge to the representative selection of data available for a study, adding an additional dimension of potential bias. When conducting epidemiologic research, a systematic misrepresentation of the general population within a study population may cause problems with the external validity of the study results.

In this study, we investigate the extent to which an adolescent's choice to consent to collection of their child and school medical health records is associated with sex, health status and parental factors, in order to identify risk for potential introduction of consent bias. Additionally, we evaluate the result of the subsequent data collection in terms of potential regional differences.

## **Materials and methods**

This study is carried out within a cohort of twins from The Child and Adolescent Twin Study in Sweden (CATSS). The CATSS framework encompasses several studies described below, also illustrated in Figure 1.

*Figure 1 about here.*

### *CATSS-9/12*

CATSS-9/12 is an on-going data collection aimed at twins born and living in Sweden on their 9<sup>th</sup> birthday. Starting in July 2004, parents of twins born from 1992 onwards were contacted for a telephone interview including a wide variety of questions on childhood characteristics and health such as height, weight, history of neonatal care, lactose intolerance, celiac disease, asthma, allergic diseases and use of prescribed drugs. The interview also includes the ‘Autism –Tics, AD/HD and other Comorbidities inventory (A-TAC)’, a parental interview designed specifically to screen for neurodevelopmental problems. (Anckarsater *et al.*, 2011, Larson *et al.*, 2010, Larson *et al.*, 2013) During the first three years of the study, parents of 12-year old twins (born July 1992 to June 1995) and 9-year old twins (born from July 1995 and onwards) were contacted in parallel, and after that only 9-year old twins were included. To date, information on >24,000 twins has been collected, and the average response rate has been 80%. (Anckarsater *et al.*, 2011) Zygosity (monozygotic or dizygotic same- or opposite-sexed twins) was determined either using an interview-based algorithm or genetic testing. (Magnusson *et al.*, 2013)

### *CATSS-15 and CATSS-15/DOGSS*

At 15 years of age, twins eligible for CATSS-9/12 were invited to one of two follow-up-studies. Starting with twins born 1993, CATSS-15/DOGSS (Developmental Outcomes in a Genetic twin



Study in Sweden) was a targeted study based on screen-positivity in A-TAC for either autism spectrum disorder (ASD), attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder (AD/HD), tic disorders (TD), learning disorders (LD), developmental coordination disorder (DCD), obsessive compulsive disorder (OCD), oppositional defiant disorder (ODD), conduct disorder (CD) or eating disorder (ED) in at least one twin of a same-sex pair. In addition, CATSS-15/DOGSS included randomly selected healthy controls from CATSS-9/12. It was primarily a clinical study, but an additional component included a paper questionnaire – here referred to as the “age 15 questionnaire”.

CATSS-15/DOGSS included 452 individual twins born 1993-1995, but only twins born 1994-1995 who responded to the questionnaire were included in the current study (n=157). To be a CATSS-15 participant, previous participation in CATSS-9/12 was not a prerequisite. Rather, starting with twins born 1994, all 15-year-old twins who were not already participants in CATSS-15/DOGSS were invited to respond to a questionnaire. For the purposes of the current study, CATSS-15 twins born 1994-1996 (n=4,454) were included. The age 15 questionnaires in CATSS-15 and in CATSS-15/DOGSS were largely identical, including questions on current height and weight, asthma and allergic diseases. Both questionnaires were distributed to twins as well as their parents (either parent in CATSS-15 or both in CATSS-15/DOGSS), however for the purposes of the current study response from the twins was sufficient.

#### *Consent to health record collection*

Twins who responded to the age 15 questionnaire in either CATSS-15 or CATSS-15/DOGSS received the question “May the Swedish Twin Registry request information from your child- and school health records?” By Swedish law 15 is the age when an individual is expected to provide their own consent to participation in medical research, given that they understand what this entails on their behalf. All study participants received standardised information including details

about the intended use of the data, data anonymisation, and confidentiality. The study was approved by the Regional Ethical Review board in Stockholm, Sweden.

### *Child and school health records*

The Swedish Child and School Health Care systems follow children from birth up to graduation from either 9<sup>th</sup> or 12<sup>th</sup> grade. The medical health records established within these systems include regular height and weight measurements of the children. Practices for the handling of these medical health records differ somewhat across Sweden. Commonly however, the child health record is sent to the county archive either when a child moves outside the county, upon the child's transfer to school health care or when the child leaves 9<sup>th</sup> grade and continues on to upper secondary school (grade 10 to 12). The first school health record is established when the child enters the school system, but a new record may be created when there is a transfer between schools, such as between 9<sup>th</sup> and 10<sup>th</sup> grade.

### *The record collection process*

Child health records were requested from county council archives (Swedish: Landstingsarkiv) in the county of residence for twins born 1993-1995. Records of twins born 1996 were requested from the county of residence and the county of birth. Lists of twins who had consented to record collection were sent to the Swedish National Board of Student Aid (Centrala Studiestödsnämnden, CSN), a government organisation supplying financial aid for studies, including at upper secondary school level. As a result, the identity of the school for any given individual can be retrieved through the CSN, which was possible in n=3,264 cases, 96.5% of all consenting twins. School health records were requested in a letter addressed to the school health department or nurse at the upper secondary school of the twin as identified by the CSN. In some instances, child health records were retrieved along with the school health records, and

sometimes missing school health records could be retrieved from the county council archive. For the purposes of this study, a collected record of either type, independent of source, was considered successful.

### *Quantitative variables*

Parents reported height and weight of the twins at the CATSS-9/12 telephone interview. Twins reported their current height and weight in the age 15 questionnaire. Height and weight was used to calculate body mass index (BMI, kg/m<sup>2</sup>) at each time point. BMI was categorised into low/normal or high based on age- and sex-specific cut-off values proposed by Cole. (Cole *et al.*, 2000) For example, at age 9, the cut-off value between low/normal and high was 19.07 kg/m<sup>2</sup> for females and 19.10 kg/m<sup>2</sup> for males.

### *Register linkages*

In addition to the information available through CATSS-9/12 and CATSS-15 or CATSS-15/DOGSS, the study participants' data were linked to population-based registers using the personal identification numbers. (Ludvigsson *et al.*, 2009) Specifically, the Multi-generation register was used to identify the parents, the Medical Birth Register was used to find information on maternal BMI at the first antenatal visit, and finally parental education levels were found through the Longitudinal integration database for health insurance and labor market studies (LISA by Swedish acronym). All data was de-identified prior to analyses.

### *Statistical analysis*

Two primary outcomes were studied: (1) consent to collection of medical health records (individual level analyses) and (2) successful collection of medical health records of the consenting twins (group level analyses). Concerning the outcome of consent, distributions of the

covariates were presented for the full study population as well as separately for consenters and non-consenters. Logistic regression was used to estimate odds ratios (OR) with 95% confidence intervals (CI) for the associations between consent and explanatory variables. The sandwich estimator for standard errors was used to account for clustering within twin pairs. The outcome of a successfully collected medical (child and school) health record was analysed using logistic regression for grouped data. Counties were categorised by quartiles of the distribution of the proportion of at least one parent with college education across counties: low (counties in which <42.5% of twins had at least one college educated parent), low middle (42.5-<47.7%), high middle (47.7 to 52.9%) and high (52.9% and above). This was referred to as the educational level quartile. Robust standard errors were estimated to account for clustering of observations within counties. All analyses were performed using Stata 12 (StataCorp, Tx.)

## Results

The study population included n=4,611 twins born 1994-1996 who had participated in either CATSS-15 or CATSS-15/DOGSS. Of these, 3,965 (86.0%) were also previous participants of CATSS-9/12. In total, 3,381 (73.3%) twins gave consent to collection of their child and school health record. A total of 4,486 twins (97.3%) belonged to pairs where both individuals responded to the age 15 questionnaire (full pairs). Most commonly both twins, within full pairs responding, gave the same response to the question for consent as their co-twin, but 443 pairs (in total 886 individual twins) were consent-discordant, meaning one twin consented to child and school health record collection but not the other.

Table 1 shows individual characteristics of the study participants presented for the full study population, as well as separately for consenters and non-consenters. Males were significantly less likely to consent to record collection compared to females (OR 0.74, 95% CI 0.64-0.85). Twins whose co-twin also responded to the age 15 questionnaire were not significantly more likely to consent. However, among these full pairs, twins whose participating co-twin consented were significantly more likely to consent themselves (OR 10.9, 95% CI 8.76-13.5). Monozygotic twins were slightly more likely to give consent than dizygotic same-sexed twins (OR 1.50, 95% CI 1.20-1.88). While a high parent- or self-reported BMI at either age 9/12 or at age 15 was not associated with the likelihood of consent, twins who did not provide enough information in the age 15 questionnaire in order for their BMI to be calculated were less likely to consent (OR 0.05, 95% CI 0.04-0.07).

*Table 1 about here.*

Previous participation in CATSS-9/12 was positively associated with consent to record collection at age 15 (OR 2.2, 95% CI 1.81-2.75). There were no significant associations between outcomes related to the child's somatic or neurodevelopmental health in CATSS-9/12 and consent, however there was a tendency for individuals who were A-TAC screen-positive for ADHD to be less likely to provide consent to record collection (OR 0.76, 95% CI 0.59-1.00). At age 15, neither self-reported current asthma nor parent-reported asthma ever were associated with consent to record collection, but twins reporting ever having had eczema were more likely to give consent (OR 1.32, 95% CI 1.10-1.58).

Some parental characteristics were associated with consent. Compared to twins whose parents' highest educational level at birth was middle school, twins whose parents had experienced some college or were college graduates were more likely to consent (OR for college graduate parents 2.00, 95% CI 1.31-3.05). Twins whose mother had a BMI of <18.5 or >30 at the first antenatal care visit were less likely to consent to record collection (OR for maternal BMI <18.5 was 0.50, 95% CI 0.31-0.82, and for maternal BMI >30 OR was 0.59, 95% CI 0.43-0.80).

Table 2 shows the overall results of collection of child and school health records, respectively, presented by twins' county of residence. In total, 2,474 child health records (73.2%) and 2,608 (77.2%) school health records were successfully collected. The range of successfully collected child health records was 11.4 to 97.8% (median 79.1%), whereas the range of successfully collected school health records was 65.5 to 94.9% (median 79.6%). The highest proportion of successfully collected child health records was found in Östergötland (97.8% of requested records successfully retrieved), whereas the county from which the highest proportion of successfully collected school health records was Gotland with 94.9%.

*Table 2 about here.*

Table 3 shows collection results grouped on the educational level quartile of the twins' county of residence. Using the lowest quartile as the reference group child health records were more often successfully collected from counties belonging to all other categories, but the effects did not reach statistical significance (for example, OR for low middle compared to low was 2.30, 95% CI 0.70-7.55). A similar pattern was seen for the school health records.

*Table 3 about here.*

## **Discussion**

We show that the most important predictors for adolescents' consent to participate in a research study are related to their families' past or present choices to participate in research. Individuals whose twin sibling consented to record collection or whose parents had participated in an earlier study within the same framework were significantly more likely to consent. Parental college education was associated with higher odds of consent. Covariates associated with lower odds of consent were male sex, very low or very high maternal pre-pregnancy BMI and twin's choice of not providing self-reported height or weight. Moreover, for the association between various dimensions of past and current health and adolescent twins' choices to consent to the extended study, the only studied health outcome associated with consent was self-reported eczema ever at age 15. In contrast, however, parent-reported eczema ever at age 9 or 12 was not significantly associated with consent.

The subsequent attempt to collect child and school health records featured varying degrees of success between regions. These regional differences in turn slightly skewed the study population towards a selection with higher educational level, even though this effect did not reach statistical significance. This raises some concern regarding the representativeness of the final sample. It has been argued however that lack of representativeness is mainly of concern when the biological mechanism under study is potentially so different between two groups that inferences from one of them cannot reasonably be assumed to apply also in the other. (Rothman *et al.*, 2013) Whether this is true in any given situation should be evaluated on a case-by-case basis. Given that educational achievement is a consequence of a sequence of events and circumstances however, it seems unlikely in most scenarios that biological mechanisms should be unique between different levels. That said, in some cases parents' education may be associated with both diagnosis of



disease and medication use in its treatment, which may make a skewed selection problematic if not for biological then possibly for social reasons. (Gong *et al.*, 2014)

Previous studies including age, sex and zygosity as a potential predictor for consent to use of medical health records for research have most often shown that males are more likely to consent than females (Damery *et al.*, 2011, Knies *et al.*, 2012, Matsui *et al.*, 2005, Woolf *et al.*, 2000), although some previous studies demonstrate higher likelihood for consent in females compared to males. A British study including participants aged 18 and above found that among people below the age of 50 females were more likely to consent, but that the pattern was reversed among older individuals. (Dunn *et al.*, 2004) Previous studies have shown a tendency for younger females and older males to be most positive towards research participation. (Hill *et al.*, 2013) Thus a reasonable explanation for our finding that females were more likely to consent to medical health record collection may lie in the fact that our study population consists only of adolescents: a group which has previously been underrepresented in similar investigations. Our findings also imply that monozygous twins are slightly more likely to give consent, which may reflect that their twinship makes them more positive towards research and confirms a recent study on the genetic effect of missingness. (Schwartz and Beaver, 2014)

Past investigations of predictors for consent to record collection have been carried out primarily in the United Kingdom (Baker *et al.*, 2000, Damery *et al.*, 2011, Dunn *et al.*, 2004, Knies *et al.*, 2012, Tate *et al.*, 2006) or the United States. (Beebe *et al.*, 2011, Jacobsen *et al.*, 1999, Schwartz *et al.*, 2005, Woolf *et al.*, 2000) Our study presents a Northern European perspective, including similarities as well as differences from previous studies and providing added information for future comparisons of international differences in research participation patterns.

This study has several strengths and some limitations. It is one of the first studies on factors associated with consent to research involving collection of medical health records among adolescents. Inclusion of twin siblings and the longitudinal cohort design in CATSS allows us to study the influence of families' past or present choices, which is a unique feature of our study. At the same time, we cannot exclude that this type of study design may have some influence on our results. The CATSS studies contain extensive information concerning health outcomes and other covariates (Larson *et al.*, 2013) for consenters as well as non-consenters, making several comparisons between these groups possible. At the same time, some of the CATSS questions are not validated and for those the specificity for actual clinical diagnosis may be low. There was an association between declining to report sufficient information to calculate BMI at age 15 and not consenting to child and school health record collection. As the longitudinal recording of height and weight is central to the child and school health records, and it was explicitly stated in connection to the question for consent that this was the primary research interest, it is possible that the study participants who considered this information especially sensitive declined consent and did not report height or weight for the same reasons. The current data do not allow us to study whether a more general wording of the question would have yielded similar results.

In conclusion, adolescents' choice to consent is not independent of certain covariates. Nonrandom selection in itself does not automatically result in selection- or participation bias, however, as it requires the intended exposure as well as outcome of the future study to be associated with consent. (Hernan *et al.*, 2004) Lack of representativeness may be of varying importance depending on the research question of interest. (Rothman *et al.*, 2013) Awareness of these inherent issues in observational studies is essential to the design and interpretation of

results.

## **Financial support**

Financial support was provided from the Swedish Research Council (C.A., grant number 2011-3060) and through the Swedish Initiative for research on Microdata in the Social And Medical sciences (SIMSAM) framework (C.A, grant number 340-2013-5867), the Strategic Research Program in Epidemiology at Karolinska Institutet (C.A), the Swedish Heart and Lung foundation (C.A) the Swedish Asthma and Allergy Association (C.A., grant numbers 2010048-K, 2011051-K, 2012056-K, 2013049-K), Stiftelsen Frimurare Barnhuset Stockholm (C.A.), grants provided by the Stockholm County Council (C.A., ALF project no 520344 and 530422, and C.HG, application no 20100336.) and Sahlgrenska Universitetssjukhuset (H.A., S.L., ALF project no ALFGBG138111) , the Swedish Council for Working Life and Social Research (S.L., H.A., grant number 2006-0547), Systembolaget (S.L), the National Board of Forensic Medicine (S.L., H.A., grant number X10-90078), Bank of Sweden Tercentenary Foundation (S.L. H.A., grant number P2006-0390:1-E), and Hedlunds stiftelse (C.HG),. The Ministry for Higher Education financially supports the Swedish Twin Registry.

## **Conflict of interest**

None.

## References

- Al-Shahi, R., Vousden, C., Warlow, C. & Scottish Intracranial Vascular Malformation Study Steering, C. (2005). Bias from requiring explicit consent from all participants in observational research: prospective, population based study. *BMJ* 331, 942.
- Anckarsater, H., Lundstrom, S., Kollberg, L., Kerekes, N., Palm, C., Carlstrom, E., Langstrom, N., Magnusson, P. K. E., Halldner, L., Bolte, S., Gillberg, C., Gumpert, C., Rastam, M. & Lichtenstein, P. (2011). The Child and Adolescent Twin Study in Sweden (CATSS). *Twin Research and Human Genetics* 14, 495-508.
- Baker, R., Shiels, C., Stevenson, K., Fraser, R. & Stone, M. (2000). What proportion of patients refuse consent to data collection from their records for research purposes? *Br J Gen Pract* 50, 655-6.
- Beebe, T. J., Ziegenfuss, J. Y., Jenkins, S. M., Haas, L. R. & Davern, M. E. (2011). Who doesn't authorize the linking of survey and administrative health data? A general population-based investigation. *Ann Epidemiol* 21, 706-9.
- Buckley, B., Murphy, A. W., Byrne, M. & Glynn, L. (2007). Selection bias resulting from the requirement for prior consent in observational research: a community cohort of people with ischaemic heart disease. *Heart* 93, 1116-20.
- Cole, T. J., Bellizzi, M. C., Flegal, K. M. & Dietz, W. H. (2000). Establishing a standard definition for child overweight and obesity worldwide: international survey. *BMJ* 320, 1240-3.
- Damery, S., Ryan, R., McManus, R. J., Warmington, S., Draper, H., Wilson, S. & Improving Colorectal Outcomes, G. (2011). The effect of seeking consent on the representativeness of patient cohorts: iron-deficiency anaemia and colorectal cancer. *Colorectal Dis* 13, e366-73.

Dunn, K. M., Jordan, K., Lacey, R. J., Shapley, M. & Jinks, C. (2004). Patterns of consent in epidemiologic research: evidence from over 25,000 responders. *Am J Epidemiol* 159, 1087-94.

Gong, T., Lundholm, C., Rejno, G., Mood, C., Langstrom, N., & Almqvist, C. (2014). Parental socioeconomic status, childhood asthma and medication use--a population-based study. *PloS One*, 9(9), e106579. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0106579

Groenwold, R. H., van der Graaf, R. & van Delden, J. J. (2013). How and when does consent bias research? *Am J Bioeth* 13, 46-8.

Hernan, M. A., Hernandez-Diaz, S. & Robins, J. M. (2004). A structural approach to selection bias. *Epidemiology* 15, 615-25.

Hill, E. M., Turner, E. L., Martin, R. M. & Donovan, J. L. (2013). "Let's get the best quality research we can": public awareness and acceptance of consent to use existing data in health research: a systematic review and qualitative study. *BMC Med Res Methodol* 13, 72.

Huang, N., Shih, S. F., Chang, H. Y. & Chou, Y. J. (2007). Record linkage research and informed consent: who consents? *BMC Health Serv Res* 7, 18.

Jacobsen, S. J., Xia, Z., Champion, M. E., Darby, C. H., Plevak, M. F., Seltman, K. D. & Melton, L. J., 3rd (1999). Potential effect of authorization bias on medical record research. *Mayo Clin Proc* 74, 330-8.

Kho, M. E., Duffett, M., Willison, D. J., Cook, D. J. & Brouwers, M. C. (2009). Written informed consent and selection bias in observational studies using medical records: systematic review. *BMJ* 338, b866.

Klassen, A. F., Lee, S. K., Barer, M. & Raina, P. (2005). Linking survey data with administrative health information: characteristics associated with consent from a neonatal intensive care unit follow-up study. *Can J Public Health* 96, 151-4.

Knies, G., Burton, J. & Sala, E. (2012). Consenting to health record linkage: evidence from a multi-purpose longitudinal survey of a general population. *BMC Health Serv Res* 12, 52.

Larson, T., Anckarsater, H., Gillberg, C., Stahlberg, O., Carlstrom, E., Kadesjo, B., Rastam, M., Lichtenstein, P. & Gillberg, C. (2010). The autism--tics, AD/HD and other comorbidities inventory (A-TAC): further validation of a telephone interview for epidemiological research. *BMC Psychiatry* 10, 1.

Larson, T., Lundstrom, S., Nilsson, T., Selinus, E. N., Rastam, M., Lichtenstein, P., Gumpert, C. H., Anckarsater, H. & Kerekes, N. (2013). Predictive properties of the A-TAC inventory when screening for childhood-onset neurodevelopmental problems in a population-based sample. *BMC Psychiatry* 13, 233.

Ludvigsson, J. F., Otterblad-Olausson, P., Pettersson, B. U. & Ekblom, A. (2009). The Swedish personal identity number: possibilities and pitfalls in healthcare and medical research. *Eur J Epidemiol* 24, 659-67.

Magnusson, P. K., Almqvist, C., Rahman, I., Ganna, A., Viktorin, A., Walum, H., Halldner, L., Lundstrom, S., Ullen, F., Langstrom, N., Larsson, H., Nyman, A., Gumpert, C. H., Rastam, M., Anckarsater, H., Cnattingius, S., Johannesson, M., Ingelsson, E., Klareskog, L., de Faire, U.,

Pedersen, N. L. & Lichtenstein, P. (2013). The Swedish twin registry: establishment of a biobank and other recent developments. *Twin Res Hum Genet* 16, 317-29.

Matsui, K., Kita, Y. & Ueshima, H. (2005). Informed consent, participation in, and withdrawal from a population based cohort study involving genetic analysis. *J Med Ethics* 31, 385-92.

McKinney, P. A., Jones, S., Parslow, R., Davey, N., Darowski, M., Chaudhry, B., Stack, C.,

Parry, G., Draper, E. S. & Group, P. I. C. S. (2005). A feasibility study of signed consent for the

collection of patient identifiable information for a national paediatric clinical audit database. *BMJ* 330, 877-9.

Rothman, K. J., Gallacher, J. E. & Hatch, E. E. (2013). Why representativeness should be avoided. *Int J Epidemiol* 42, 1012-4.

Rothstein, M. A. & Shoben, A. B. (2013). Does consent bias research? *Am J Bioeth* 13, 27-37.

Schwartz, J. A. & Beaver, K. M. (2014). A biosocial analysis of the sources of missing data in criminological research. *Journal of Criminal Justice* 42, 452-461.

Schwartz, M. F., Brecher, A. R., Whyte, J. & Klein, M. G. (2005). A patient registry for cognitive rehabilitation research: a strategy for balancing patients' privacy rights with researchers' need for access. *Arch Phys Med Rehabil* 86, 1807-14.

Tate, A. R., Calderwood, L., Dezateux, C. & Joshi, H. (2006). Mother's consent to linkage of survey data with her child's birth records in a multi-ethnic national cohort study. *Int J Epidemiol* 35, 294-8.

Woolf, S. H., Rothenich, S. F., Johnson, R. E. & Marsland, D. W. (2000). Selection bias from requiring patients to give consent to examine data for health services research. *Arch Fam Med* 9, 1111-8.



## Figure legends

**Figure 1** Flow chart describing the CATSS studies and the child and school health record consent-collection process.