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To my family

Then the LORD God formed man of dust from the ground
and breathed into his nostrils the breath of life;
and man became a living being.

   Genesis 2:7

So long as men can breathe or eyes can see,
So long lives this, and this gives life to thee.

   Shakespeare, Sonnet 18

Be inspired
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Abstract

A simplified concept of respiratory drive is to consider it as the integrated “output” from the CNS to the respiratory “pump” muscles. This drive is a result of a complex central respiratory pattern generation, and can in abnormal situations, e.g. of pathological or pharmacological origin, be altered.

The aim of this thesis was to develop devices and methods for assessment of respiratory drive in man, and to evaluate the method in clinical and experimental studies.

A modified breath-by-breath $P_{0.1}$ measurement technique based on occlusion pressure was designed. Three generations of dedicated devices for assessment of respiratory drive were built, and a ventilator was further adapted for the technique. Several methods for measuring ventilatory adjustment to disturbed breathing (chemical or mechanical stimulation) were evaluated, and the technique was also used to study pathologically and pharmacologically altered breathing. Comparisons between equipment, methods and medical conditions were performed as group comparisons between patients and healthy subjects.

The proposed technique to measure $P_{0.1}$ breath-by-breath can be used without influencing the breathing pattern. As $P_{0.1}$ shows a high temporal variability, this continuous breath-by-breath measurement should be preferred to intermittent measurement on single breaths. When breathing on a ventilator, $P_{0.1}$ measurement can be compromised by mechanical loading of the equipment.

Breathing on a ventilator was shown to be compatible with all the tested methods for provocation of breathing. Transient response to short pulses of $CO_2$ is a patient-friendly possibility to test chemosensitivity, in comparison with steady-state and rebreathing technique. A ventilator is especially suited for measurement of sensitivity to mechanical stimulation.

Clinical application of the technique was performed in three studies with the following results: 1) Ketamine reverses the
depressive effect on ventilation of alfentanil. 2) COPD patients with nocturnal hypoxemia preserve their drive and chemosensitivity unaltered in at least one year without development of daytime hypoxemia. 3) Growth hormone profoundly improves the hypoventilation and chemosensitivity in Prader-Willi patients.

It is concluded that respiratory drive assessment can give added diagnostic or therapeutic value in several specific medical situations, and can be accomplished without a complicated or invasive technique.
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Abbreviations and words

CNS – central nervous system
CPAP – continuous positive airway pressure
COPD – chronic obstructive pulmonary disease
CPG – central pattern generator
DRG – dorsal respiratory group
ETCO₂ – end tidal partial pressure of CO₂ in the airways
FRC - functional residual capacity (resting lung volume)
P₀₁ – index of respiratory drive based on mouth occlusion pressure
PCO₂, PO₂ – partial pressures of carbon dioxide and oxygen
PEEP – positive end expiratory pressure
PNS – peripheral nervous system
PRG – pontine respiratory group
PWS – Prader-Willi syndrome
RR – respiratory rate (breathing frequency)
S_pO₂ – blood Hb oxygen saturation assessed by pulse oxymetry
SIDS – sudden infant death syndrome
Tₑ, T₁ – expiratory/inspiratory breathing times
T₁ / TTOT – duty time
T₀ – occlusion time
TTOT – breath duration
VT – tidal volume
VT / T₁ – mean inspiratory flow
V₁ – minute ventilation
VRG – ventral respiratory group

afferent, efferent – carrying toward, carrying away
dorsal, ventral, rostral – toward the back, the front, the beak
respiratory drive – the “output” from the CNS to the respiratory
“pump” muscles
hypo-, hyper – decreased, increased
pressure – 10 cm H₂O ~ 1 vol% ~ 1kPa ~ 7,5 mm Hg
Preface

Life and breathing are intimately linked. This is the thought behind the words for soul or spirit in many languages, and the story of creation of man in the Bible.

In medicine, breathing has to be carefully monitored in a critically ill or injured patient. The strategy to achieve proper breathing is a strategy of survival. This work deals with the control of breathing, and the origin of the breathing effort, which may be called the respiratory drive\(^1\). The attempt is to get a simple measure of this driving force.

The results should be judged as an interdisciplinary work from somebody who was originally trained as an engineer in applied physics, and with a career in the biomedical engineering laboratory. Together with colleagues, I have performed measurements on people, involving aspects of physiology, pharmacology, anesthesiology, pulmonary medicine and pediatrics. It is my hope that this work will contribute to the wholeness of science, and have a meaning in the targeted areas.

The work has been most stimulating, and I would not have missed the experience of being a research student. As major mistakes and failures normally are well obscured in the scientific presentation, I would like to mention the importance of having made them. All the trials that failed, or were not good enough to finish, all the hours working with the wrong hypothesis, and, maybe most frustrating, all the perfect recordings that were lost, due to an ill-functioning computer – “What! Did you annihilate the data!!!?”, as the supervisor loudly roared - yes, I wouldn’t have missed that.

\(^1\) Synonyms for drive (noun) are effort, moment, impulse, motive, energy, incentive, pressure, vigor. All are relevant in the context for this study.
Principal aims of the project

- To develop devices and methods for assessment of respiratory drive in man
- To use and evaluate the methods in studies of respiratory control, and in pharmacologically or pathologically altered breathing

Specific aims

**Study I**: To study the influence on breathing of a simplified technique for breath-by-breath determination of P_{0.1}

**Study II**: To implement a technique for breath-by-breath determination of P_{0.1}, using a commercial ventilator. To explore the possibility to impose a mechanical load from the same ventilator

**Study III**: To implement a method of studying the function of the respiratory centers by repeatedly evoking transient responses to small mechanical stimuli

**Study IV**: To develop methods to assess respiratory sensitivity to carbon dioxide for the clinical setting, and compare the rebreathing method with a method using brief pulses of carbon dioxide

**Study V**: To determine the ventilatory effect of ketamine, in combination with alfentanil, using computer-controlled infusions

**Study VI**: To study the long-term development and patterns of nocturnal hypoxaemia in COPD patients, and the relation to sleep quality, respiratory drive and CO_{2} sensitivity

**Study VII**: To investigate the effect of growth hormone treatment on breathing in PWS patients
Background

Physiology of breathing

The location of a respiratory center in the medulla oblongata was first suggested by Julien Jean LeGallois 1812 (Derenne JP et al. ’78). Today, there is a considerable knowledge of the organization and function of the regulation of breathing. Still, however, it is far from fully understood (Bianchi et al. ’95; Onimaru ’95; Nattie ’99).

The primary aim of respiration is to meet the demands of the metabolism in the body. To that end, respiration functions as a part of the metabolic infrastructure, mainly by providing the body with oxygen and removing carbon dioxide. Other functions of the respiratory apparatus are to participate in the regulation of acid-base balance, the fluid balance and the body temperature. Respiration is also necessary for the ability to speech (phonation). The central controller in the CNS has a remarkable ability to adapt to the many different demands, receiving information from sensors in the body.

Sensors

Any regulatory system may be characterized by three components: sensors, controller and effectors. Information from the various sensors (receptor neurons in PNS and CNS) is processed by the respiratory system’s controller (situated in the CNS) to form the output to the effectors (respiratory muscles and lungs).

Important information influencing the respiratory output is collected by the central chemoreceptors. Their existence has been known for more than 70 years. Experiments with artificial cerebrospinal fluid linked them later with neurons on the surface of the ventrolateral medulla oblongata (Schlaefke ’81; O’Regan and Majcherczyk ’82). The central chemoreceptors are sensitive to local pH and maybe directly to CO₂ concentration (Nattie ’01a). They are
thus affected by the $\text{PCO}_2$ of the blood perfusing the brain tissue and the bicarbonate buffer of the cerebrospinal fluid. Because of the relative impermeability of the endothelium of the capillaries and the epithelium of the choroidal plexuses where the cerebrospinal fluid is formed (blood-brain-barrier), the central chemoreceptors are essentially influenced by respiratory acid-base disturbances in the blood, i.e. blood $\text{PCO}_2$, and to a much lesser extent by metabolic acid-base disturbances. This specific sensitivity to blood $\text{PCO}_2$ of the central chemoreceptors is a consequence of the high mobility of the CO$_2$ molecules, while most ionized molecules like H$^+$ and HCO$_3^-$ cannot penetrate the blood-brain barrier.

The mechanisms underlying chemosensitivity are still not well understood. Recent research reveal a complex and fascinating neural organization (Horn and Waldrop '98; Nattie '99; Ballantyne and Scheid '01a, b; Nattie '01a, b; Nattie and Prabhakar '01). Chemosensitivity is more widespread than earlier believed. Many sites in medulla, pons and other areas of the brain are involved. The sites vary in their characteristics (sensitivity, specificity, threshold level, neurotransmitter mechanism). For instance, the contribution of a site seems to depend on the state of arousal and sleep, and no group of chemoreceptors accounts for more than a fraction of the total output sensitivity (Nattie '01a). The receptor mechanisms, which involve membrane properties and synaptic transmissions, are probably of several kinds (Bianchi et al. '95).

The chemosensitive sites, and their couplings to thermoregulation, nociception and arousal mechanisms in hierarchical and parallel systems, are suggested to have evolved from different functions in mammals, such as air breathing, homeothermy and sleep state (with differentiation between NREM and REM types). This may provide tuned control and stability for breathing in a variety of conditions (Erlichman and Leiter '97; Wilson et al. '00; Derby and Steullet '01; Morrell et al. '01; Nattie '01a; Remmers et al. '01).

The central controller also receives information from the peripheral chemoreceptors. Approximately one third of the
regulation of breathing during normal ventilation is mediated by these receptors (Edelman et al. '73; Bellville et al. '79), via branches of the 9th and 10th cranial nerves projecting to the dorsal medulla. They are sensitive to blood PO2, PCO2 and pH. Some are located in extremely well perfused paraganglia close to the bifurcation of a. carotis (carotid bodies). Others, which are less prominent, are found in paraganglia of the aortic arch (aortic bodies).

The carotid and aortic bodies contain different cell types, whose function and interaction have not been fully elucidated, but the overall effect is that these receptors have a unique role for the increase in breathing due to hypoxia.

The hypoxic ventilatory response from the peripheral chemoreceptors has a nonlinear shape. Normally moderate, the response is activated increasingly when PO2 values falls below 80 mmHg (Weil et al. '70). The response to pH is nonlinear in a similar way, making CO2 the dominant chemical regulator of breathing through both central and peripheral receptors within normal ranges. The location of the peripheral chemoreceptors, close to the arterial blood flow, make them faster than the central chemoreceptors and probably more important for early onset and transient responses (Edelman et al. '73; DeGoede et al. '85).

The peripheral chemoreceptors are also sensitive to changes in blood pressure (Heistad et al. '75) and temperature (Mortola and Frappell '00).

Inputs can influence each other on the peripheral and central level. A well-known example is the effect of PO2 on the sensitivity to carbon dioxide, and vice versa. For instance, the ventilatory response to CO2 is potentiated, if the peripheral chemoreceptors are simultaneously stimulated by hypoxia.

Possible sites for additional, hypothetical, chemoreceptors are suggested in e.g. the lungs, the muscles and the lower hypothalamus (Horn and Waldrop '98; Solomon '00; Patel and Honore '01).

A fact not explained by chemoregulation is the rapid increase in breathing that occurs during exercise. Especially in the initial phase
of exercise, when the O₂ uptake can rise quickly from a few dl/min to several l/min, it is believed that there is a central coinervation from motor cortex and hypothalamus, that also influence medullary centers. In addition, there seems to be a neural feedback to the central controller from mechanoreceptors in the muscles and the skeletal joints (proprioceptors) and a potentiation of the carotid bodies from increased [K⁺] (Duffin '94; Eldridge '94; Paterson '97; Ward '00).

In the upper airways and the lungs, there are receptors involved in facilitating and controlling breathing (Sant'Ambrogio et al. '95; Akahoshi et al. '01). Pressure sensing afferents are particularly numerous in the laryngeal area, a place where it is ideal to detect collapsing forces in the compliant pharyngeal region. To limit the effect of these forces, upper airway dilators are activated and the inspiratory drive reduced. Sensors in the nasal mucosa, the epipharynx, the larynx and trachea, that are sensitive to chemical or mechanical stimulation, are associated with reflexes such as apnea, sneezing, sniffing and coughing.

The lung tissue contains many types of receptors transmitting information through branches of the vagus nerve. Pulmonary stretch receptors are found in the airway walls and the lung parenchyma. They are activated by lung distention and give rise to the classic Hering-Breuer reflex, associated with the inspiratory off-switch and prolongation of expiration. The irritant receptors in the airway epithelium are suggested to elicit expiratory reflexes to touch and chemicals, and are particularly sensitive to histamine. The J receptors in the alveolar walls (juxtapulmonary capillary receptors) are also associated with nociception, but they are maybe primarily sensitive to mechanical events, such as lung congestion and edema.

In awake man, sensory feedback other than of chemoregulatory origin may well be the dominant input to the central controller. If there is an absence of chemosensory stimulus, this input can function as a substitute for the metabolic drive (Shea '97; Horn and Waldrop '98; Nattie '99). For instance, the influence on breathing of
excitement, panic or pain is obvious to anyone. This suprapontine stimulation involves reflexes related to regulation of wakefulness, arousal, locomotion, and sensations of noxious and emotional origin.

Several respiratory-related functions are associated with the hypothalamus: 1) An increase or a slight decrease in body temperature enhance ventilation beyond what is related to CO₂ production (Boden et al. '00a, b). Deep hypothermia inhibits breathing (Maskrey '95). 2) Emotions, e.g. fear, anxiety and rage are already mentioned. 3) The production of releasing hormones, which influence the levels of thyroid hormones and progesterone, both stimulating ventilation (Wolfe et al. '98; Tatsumi '99; Frankovich and Lebrun '00). 4) An alternative site for chemosensitivity, also mentioned above.

Sensory inputs that interact with ventilation but are not primarily involved in the control of respiration may be termed unspecific drives: Hot and cold stimuli to the skin influence ventilation. Cold water immersion provokes the inspiratory “gasp” reflex (Mekjavic et al. '87; Burke and Mekjavic '91). Cooling of the airways decreases ventilation (Giesbrecht '95; Sant'Ambrogio et al. '95). The diving response, elicited when water touches the face, has an inhibitory influence on respiration and increases breath-holding (Mukhtar and Patrick '86; Gooden '94). Pain can be a powerful stimulus. A rise in arterial blood pressure depresses ventilation and CO₂ sensitivity (Grunstein et al. '75; Heistad et al. '75).

All the information from the numerous, identified or yet to be identified, sensors of the system is managed by the respiratory controller.

**Respiratory centers**

It has long been recognized that the respiratory controller in the CNS is composed of two functionally and anatomically separate parts: the voluntary respiration, controlled by the cortex, and the autonomous respiration, governed by structures within the brainstem (Berger et al. '77). The volitional control involves the forebrain and implies that the autonomous respiration is modulated
through descending neural pathways, similarly to the control of posture and locomotion. This constitutes an additional drive for breathing, by-passing and confusing the metabolic mechanisms (von Euler '77), passing down the lateral spinal columns in the corticospinal tracts. The autonomous pathways from the brainstem travel in the ventral and ventro-lateral columns as the bulbospinal tracts.

The organization, function and localization of the autonomous respiratory controller has been the object for numerous studies, but are still not well understood. Current knowledge is mainly based on animal experiments, e.g. cat and rat. One abandoned idea, which was based on classical transections of the brainstem, assumed that specific respiratory functions resided within circumscribed structures (i.e. the pneumotaxic and the apneustic center in the pons, and the medullary centers, subdivided in the inspiratory and expiratory half-centers). The model has been modified by introducing the now current term “central pattern generator”, CPG (Bianchi et al. '95). The respiratory CPG model is characterized by an intricate network of neuronal populations, generating the respiratory activity in complicated coordination.

The synaptic network mechanism assumes reciprocal inhibitory connections between the neurons and influence on the intrinsic membrane properties. In this model, respiration is a sequential motor behavior, activating in steps at least six different neuronal populations found in the CPG: Pre I, Early I, I aug, Late I, Post I and E aug neurons; their names indicating their roles. Each step is conditioned by the previous one and initiates the next, thus generating the three phases in respiratory rhythm: inspiration, post-inspiration (E1) and expiration (E2) (Richter et al. '92; Bellingham '98).

Three major bilateral clusters of respiratory neurons are generally recognized: the pontine, the dorsal and the ventral respiratory groups (PRG, DRG, VRG), but several other clusters are recognized (Bianchi et al. '95; Nattie '99). The PRG lies in the dorsal lateral pons. These neurons seem to stabilize the respiratory
pattern, slow the rhythm and influence the timing of the respiratory phases, but they are not essential for the respiratory rhythm generation per se.

The DRG lies in the region of the nucleus of the solitary tract (NST) in the dorsal medulla. It receives ascending afferents via the 9th and 10th cranial nerves and the spinal cords. The majority of the DRG neurons have inspiratory-modulated discharge patterns and efferent connections to respiratory premotor neurons and motoneurons.

The VRG is a long column of cells in the region of nucleus ambiguous but extending the full length of the ventrolateral medulla. In the VRG, there are many kinds of respiratory neurons. Bulbospinal expiratory and inspiratory neurons send efferents to premotor- and motoneurons of the respiratory muscles. Propriobulbar neurons coordinate the activity of other medullary CPG neurons. The intermediate part of VRG is believed to be important in the respiratory CPG organization. One adjacent area, rostral to the VRG, termed the pre-Bötzinger complex (PBC), has been hypothesized to be the source of pre-inspiratory bursting, i.e. having a pacemaker function, or functioning as a fall-back or auto-resuscitation and center for fetal breathing.

The existence of an inspiratory rhythm-generating kernel of less than 600 neurons in the PBC has gained increased attraction recently (Rekling and Feldman '98; Koshiya and Smith '99; Johnson et al. '01; Thoby-Brisson and Ramirez '01). The pacemaker paradigm now seems to be more accepted (Gray et al. '01), but there are still major confusing observations in relation the pattern-generator network, and a synthesis of the two models is expected (Lindsey '01; McRimmon et al. '01).

The afferent activity from different sensors in the body is received by the CPG, and then processed and modified in its outcome. One input can influence the outcome of another, e.g. at sleep, when the wakefulness stimulus is absent, the response to CO₂ is reduced (Nattie '99). The differentiation and interaction between neuronal groups in the brain are attracting great interest, as new methods
are evolving to stimulate or neutralize neuronal groups (Nattie ‘01a, b).

Parts of the respiratory neuronal network and related muscles also serve in other neuronal circuits with non-respiratory functions. These functions include postural control, phonation, protective reflexes of the upper airways, e.g. cough and sneeze, and expulsive maneuvers, e.g. vomiting, defecation, micturition and parturition (Iscoe ‘98). Gasping may represent the output of a simple but rugged pattern generator that functions as a backup system until the control system for eupnoea is developed (St John ‘90, ‘96). A picture is emerging of many different CPGs in the brain, some physically very close to or part of the respiratory CPG, and, that these CPGs are able to coordinate their activities.

The suprapontine control of respiration is not well investigated. With new imaging techniques (PET and fMRI) networks can be visualized; it can for instance be demonstrated that structures involved in motor functions, such as the motor cortex and basal ganglia, are activated during volitional and increased breathing. It has been shown that hypothalamic and midbrain areas have various respiratory connections, and they seem to be active in more advanced respiratory control during several conditions, such as locomotion, the defense reaction (panic), homeostasis (Horn and Waldrop ‘98) and disease (Harper et al. ‘00b).

The separation between voluntary and automatic respiratory control is sometimes evident after a damage in the CNS. Voluntary breathing may not overrule the chemoregulation. Or the opposite, the automatic breathing is lost, and a person suffering from this “Ondine’s curse” stops breathing at sleep (Strauser et al. ‘99).

**Respiratory output**

The effectors of the respiratory system include the “pump” muscles, the muscles controlling airway dimensions and other muscles that are activated rhythmically when breathing.

The respiratory pump muscles cause lung volume changes. They have neuronal pathways from bulbospinal premotor neurons
situated in the medulla, projecting to motoneurons in the spinal column. The major pump muscle, the diaphragm, is innervated from phrenic motoneurons at the costal segments C3-C6. The intercostal muscles are innervated from motoneurons at T1-L3, and the abdominal muscles at T4-L4 (Bianchi et al. ’95).

Regulation of the airway dimensions are performed by: the alae nasi, the constrictors and dilators of the pharynx, the tongue protrusion, the abductors of larynx and the smooth muscles in the bronchi; they are innervated via branches of the 7th, 10th and 12th cranial nerves from motoneurons in the brainstem.

Upper airway patency is important, to protect from airway collapse at inspiration. It is maintained by tensor muscles in the nasolaryngeal passage and soft palate, innervated from the 5th and 7th cranial nerve.

The effectors are coordinated by the respiratory CPG in normal breathing. But they are also recruited, exclusively or in combination, by other CPGs in specialized activities, such as coughing, sneezing, singing, locomotion, vomiting, which have been discussed above.

A simple integrator-based theoretical model can help understand how the respiratory output is formed (Rhoades and Tanner ’95). The integrator is presumed to reside within the medullary network. It is affected by all the sources that contribute to respiratory drive, as it increasingly activates the inspiratory premotor neurons of the DRG-VRG complex. Inspiration ends by an off-switch, which determines the depth of breathing, from growing inhibitory activity, and the integrator is reset.

After the termination of inspiration, some activity of the inspiratory muscles resumes in a receding manner. This is the post-inspiration phase, when the expiratory flow is reduced in a controlled manner from what would else occur by passive recoil of the pulmonary system. Not until the second phase of expiration, the expiratory muscles may be activated. The duration of expiration is determined by the inhibitory intensity on the inspiratory neurons, which declines until the switch to inspiration is initiated again.
Another model of thought is that of multiple control strategies for respiration. We can describe several ways to meet similar demands, even if the integration of these strategies is not understood. In exercise, for example, the initial rapid ventilatory increase is believed to be induced by the activity in the motor cortex and the limbs. This is believed to be a feedforward strategy, which is not adjusted by its outcome. Instead, the response seems to be finely tuned to the set point by the slower feedback strategy, which controls blood gas levels (Duffin ’94; Eldridge ’94; Forster and Pan ’94; Paterson ’97; Ward ’00). A third strategy is to minimize energy expenditure by regulating the breathing frequency to tidal volume relation, which depends on e.g. body mass and pulmonary stiffness.

The final result of the inspiratory activity is determined by mechanical and geometrical factors of the lungs and thorax, and the capability to coordinate the effectors. The ability to move gases of various viscosity in the airways (resistance), and the elastic properties of the lungs and the chest wall (compliance) are essential qualities and can alter rapidly in disease. The lung volume per se is a determinant. The nonlinear relationship between the generated force and length of a muscle must also be considered; this means that the diaphragm, with its dome-shaped configuration, is more forceful at the start than at the end of an inspiration. Similarly, the force-velocity relationship implies that the power output and efficiency of the respiratory muscles depends on the speed of contraction. Ultimately, the share and coordination between different respiratory muscles will influence the relation between respiratory drive and performance.

**Assessment of inspiratory activity**

*Drive and timing*

A simplified concept of respiratory drive is to consider it as the integrated “output” signal from the CNS to the respiratory “pump” muscles. This signal is actually a complex of impulses from different
neuronal nuclei, following different neuronal pathways. The respiratory drive can further be described functionally: a voluntary drive, a behavioral drive, a hypercapnic drive or a hypoxic drive, depending on the cause of the signal.

The effector muscles, primarily the diaphragm, intercostals and abdominals, function as a pressure generator, producing the volume changes that constitute breathing. Thus, a pattern of neuronal activity is transformed and reflected into one resulting quantity: the pressure of the airways. The airway pressure, and the volumes and flows generated by it, are also results of other factors not necessarily determined by the respiratory CPG, such as lung anatomy, elastic properties of the lungs and the thorax cavity and the flow resistance of the airways.

Because of the complex character of the CPG there is no simple direct estimate of the respiratory drive. Measurements are bound to be either selective or indirect (see below).

The most easily assessed measure of breathing is the respiratory rate (RR) or frequency, which is the reciprocal of breath duration (T\textsubscript{TOT}). It reflects the timing of respiration (rhythm), as do the time of inspiration and expiration (T\textsubscript{i} and T\textsubscript{E}). Together with the amplitude of a breath (the tidal volume, V\textsubscript{T}), the final output of the respiratory apparatus is traditionally formed from timing as \( \dot{V} = V_T \cdot RR \), often called minute ventilation.

Clark and von Euler ('72) were the pioneers to analyze the depth and timing of breathing, formulating relations between a centrally generated drive, the timing and the tidal volume. The central controller was divided in two parts: an activity generator and a timer. To express this understanding more appropriately, the standard formula was rearranged into \( \dot{V} = 60 \cdot (V_T/T_T) \cdot (T_i/T_{TOT}) \) (Milic-Emili and Grunstein '76), where drive (V\textsubscript{T}/T\textsubscript{T}, the mean inspiratory flow) and timing (T\textsubscript{i}/T\textsubscript{TOT}, the so called duty time) are reflected separately.

Thus, mean inspiratory flow can be said to reflect the respiratory drive more specifically than ventilation in general does.
Some other possible methods to assess the central inspiratory activity include assessment of the respiratory mechanical work (Cherniack and Snidal '56; Milic-Emili and Tyler '63), the oxygen cost of breathing (Cherniack ’59), the speed of contraction of the respiratory muscles (Pengelly et al. ’71), diaphragm electromyography (Lourenco and Miranda ’68) and the phrenic nerve electroneurography (Eldridge ’75), the latter method used only in animal studies. They all have technical and principal limitations and have not been widely used in humans.

The methods can be divided into those that measure selective parts of the central command signal (ENG, EMG) and those that, indirectly, represent the net sum of actions resulting from the respiratory CPG (flow, pressure, work).

**Occlusion pressure and \( P_{0.1} \)**

Another approach to the problem of finding a measure of respiratory drive, which is not new but became carefully investigated in the 1970s, is airway occlusion pressure. Occlusion pressure here refers to a pressure measurement in the airway of a spontaneously breathing subject, after the airways unexpectedly have been closed at the end of an expiration. Studying breathing in anesthetized cats, Grunstein et al. (’73) occluded the airways at functional residual capacity (FRC, the resting volume at end expiration). Their conclusion was that the maximal amplitude of tracheal pressure generated in the following inspiratory effort is a measure of the “output of the respiratory centers”. They also noted the “isometric” character of this type of measurement and the “absence of any volume-related vagal modulation” of the respiratory output. Other investigators further observed that during the airway occlusion maneuver, the internal airway impedance was “infinite”.

Thus, the isometric or isovolumetric measurement at FRC, implicated limited or absent influence from 1) the airway resistance (no flow), 2) the compliance of the lungs and thorax (relaxed lungs and no volume change except a small increase due to decompression of the air in the lungs) and 3) the respiratory muscles’ force-length
and force-velocity relation (the muscles expected to shorten much less at occlusion). It was concluded that many of the mechanical factors involved in the transformation of respiratory motor neuron discharge into ventilation were eliminated with this method (Milic-Emili et al. ’75).

The technique was immediately appreciated because many diseases and medical procedures affect these mechanical factors of the lungs, and occlusion pressure might be a tool that could help distinguish between “can’t breathe” (pump dysfunction) and “won’t breathe” (central command dysfunction) during hypoventilation.

For conscious, non-anesthetized subjects or animals, though, the peak pressure of one occluded whole breath cycle was not a good measure, because it cannot occur without generating reflexes in response to the hindrance. The subject might fight against it or cut short the inspiratory effort. But it was observed that the shape of the pressure-time curve in anesthetized cats “remains virtually invariant, implying that the pressure at any fixed time after the onset of inspiration is as valid a measure of the respiratory centre output as is the peak pressure” (Whitelaw and Milic-Emili ’73). This lead them to suggest that in conscious man the occlusion pressure at the beginning of the respiratory effort, “before cortical reflex effects could occur, would be a useful measure of respiratory centre output.” The new idea of brief occlusion measurement was later further elaborated and described in detail in a very influential paper (Whitelaw et al. ’75), where they also launched the concept of P0.1. They found that distortions resulting from conscious and unconscious responses to occlusion had a minimum latency of 0.15 s, and therefore they suggested that the pressure drop generated 0.1 s after the onset of inspiration (P0.1) would be a useful index of the output of the respiratory centers. It was reproducible in each subject at different levels of ventilation (i.e. different airway PCO2 due to rebreathing), and they postulated that for an isometric contraction at fixed lung volume and configuration,

Occlusion pressure = K x Neuronal discharge
where $K$ is an expression of the effectiveness of the contraction of the respiratory muscles and depends on several factors that may differ between individuals. And though there were critical aspects of the $P_{0.1}$ technique, related to change in lung volume and configuration during CO$_2$ rebreathing, and the relation between former and later parts of inspiration, this was obviously a quick, simple and non-invasive technique for both physiological and clinical investigation. In comparisons to measured electrical activity in the phrenic nerve and the diaphragm, it also appeared reasonable (Evanich et al. '76; Lopata et al. '76; Lopata et al. '77a; Lopata et al. '78; Pimmel and Eldridge '79).

A slightly different approach to determine respiratory drive was presented by Burki et al. ('77), referring to an earlier paper (Matthews and Howell '75). They measured maximal rate of rise of the mouth pressure at occlusion, dP/dt max. They found that dP/dt max occurred in average 0.12 s after occlusion and had a close correlation to $P_{0.1}$ at both hypercapnic and hypoxic challenges in normal subjects. This approach has not become popular but has an interesting relationship to the algorithm used in our study I.

$P_{0.1}$ has become a de facto standard procedure to estimate respiratory drive. Numerous studies in respiratory physiology, pulmonary medicine, anesthesiology, pharmacology, pediatrics etc have been published, where the $P_{0.1}$ technique has been an essential tool in the analysis of the respiratory function.

_A critical view on the occlusion pressure technique_

With accumulated experience of occlusion pressure measurements, came a more cautious view on its qualities. In a review (Whitelaw and Derenne '93) it was stated that some of the original postulates were not correct or approximations that have to be carefully controlled in any specific study. To begin, the concept of “output” of the respiratory controller is controversial, and its definition tends to be “operational”, depending on what is “measurable”. The achievement of the measurement of occlusion pressure is, that it correlates reliably with other measures that can
be defined as outputs of the respiratory controller, and, that it in combination with other measurements can give a more complete information on the respiratory system’s function. But if basic assumptions were to be accepted in an uncritical way, simplistic conclusions could result from the interpretation of the measurements, according to the authors.

One example is when impedance can influence the occlusion pressure measurement indirectly in the COPD patient, who moreover has an end expiratory volume above normal to compensate for the obstruction, whereby the force-length relation of the respiratory muscles is different from normal. Other diseases or situations may also change the lung volume, the chest wall configuration or the contribution of various respiratory muscles in the breathing effort from the assumed normal. Furthermore, experiments in anesthetized animals have shown that the diaphragm shortening is substantial also during occluded inspiration, which is contrary to the original assumptions.

As for the brief occlusions (P_{0.1}), which have been most widely used, much of the criticism concerns the shape of the occlusion pressure wave. The undistorted or unconscious subject seem to generate the same waveform following stereotyped muscle sequences in all inspirations, in a wide ventilatory range. But a change in the respiratory CPG, a spinal reflex or a muscle could influence only a part of the inspiration, and in that case the P_{0.1} may not represent the whole inspiration or the CPG output in the same way as before. One example is during positive pressure support when the occlusion pressure wave becomes more convex downwards, having a decreasing gradient to the time axis. The effect of this shape is as if the respiratory drive gradually decreases during inspiration in response to the support, but this is not reflected in the relatively high P_{0.1}, that is received from the initial part of inspiration. A change of shape seems to appear in connection with mechanical loading.

Brief occlusions might also be influenced by the not yet relaxed expiratory muscles at the beginning of inspiration. The original
thought was that these muscles would be inactive and at rest during the expiratory pause, but at forced breathing this is not always true. The problem is to some extent semantic (what should define the pressure-generating output); this complication doesn’t seem to change the measurements per se during rebreathing.

Another complication is the assumption that mouth pressure represents the intra-thoracic pressure generated by the respiratory muscles. This is normally true especially when there is an expiratory pause. But in the case of a large respiratory impedance or an increased ventilation, there might be phase shifts between pressure and flow. If time constants are long enough, there is still an internal airway flow at the onset of inspiration, and mouth pressure might lag behind the pleural pressure wave. If the shape of the pressure wave is nonlinear, this would influence P_{0.1}, which would be measured from another part of the occlusion pressure curve. Because this is a consequence of the resistance occurring at end expiration, it would be important in the obstructive lung patient. Experiments in COPD patients have not clarified the importance of a delayed onset of measurement in esophageal, tracheal and mouth pressure waves (Murciano et al. ’82; Elliott et al. ’93). On the other hand, tracheal intubation in these patients yields higher P_{0.1} values, indicating an influence of upper airway resistance and compliance also on brief occlusions.

Still, in spite of the many arguments as to what is actually measured with occlusion pressure, it remains a widely used technique as it is the only convenient, noninvasive measure of output that can be used to assess respiratory motor activity across conditions where respiratory resistance or elastance is changed (Whitelaw and Derenne ’93).

Put into practice, P_{0.1} adds another dimension to the Milic-Emili-Grunstein formula above for ventilatory drive and timing: The mechanical impedance of the respiratory system is reflected in the ratio between P_{0.1} and V_T / T_i, in accordance with Ohm’s law. P_{0.1} is the “voltage” analogue and the mean inspiratory flow is the “current”.
**Instrumentation**

Customarily, research groups have designed their own equipment to assess breathing and respiratory drive. Usually, inspiratory and expiratory lines are separated by means of two one-way valves in combination (a two-way non-rebreathing valve). Subjects breathe through a mouth piece. Occlusions are provided, either by means of a bore stopcock, an inflating balloon inside lumen of the inspired line, or an electronically operated, *e.g.* a solenoid, shutter. The shutter is activated manually at expiration. This set-up guarantees that inspiratory occlusion starts at zero air flow. The maneuver must of course be silent and hidden from the subject, and can not be repeated too often. Pressure and CO\(_2\) concentration is recorded at the mouth piece. Flows can be measured in many ways, for instance by means of a spirometer or a pneumotachograph. The set-up is often combined with a rebreathing bag to provoke increased breathing activity during experiments. In the original works, the signals were fed to a fast chart recorder or a storage oscilloscope, and variables such as \(P_{0.1}\) were read by eye from the tracing.

The many measurements made studies cumbersome, and soon automatic devices appeared. Electronic circuits made the re-opening of the valve quicker and could also help determine \(P_{0.1}\) automatically (Linnarsson and Hessle '78; Jordan '81; Delavault et al. '84). This meant shorter occlusions, that interfered less with the breathing pattern. The automated calculation of \(P_{0.1}\) had a high precision and no observer bias. Computers were soon included in the instrumentation.

A further natural development was breath-by-breath measurement, *i.e.* determination of \(P_{0.1}\) on every single breath (Altose et al. '75, '79; Ward et al. '81; Andriano et al. '83; Lind et al. '84; Pourriat et al. '94; study I). This was possible as occlusions became even shorter. The argument was to increase the number of data and compensate for a high variability. A problem was whether the measurements would influence the quantity being measured (see study I).
Still, highly automated systems have been in a minority among the numerous studies published incorporating $P_{0.1}$ measurement. Traditional laboratory components as proposed by the early workers have been frequent. Some investigators have used one of the few instruments that are commercially available for the purpose, such as the Inspiratory Occlusion Pressure Valve Setup (Hans Rudolph, Inc., Kansas City, MS) and the CP-100 Pulmonary Monitor (Bicore Monitoring Systems, Inc., Irvine, CA; Petros et al. ’93).

Another attractive option appeared when a standard mechanical ventilator could be used as a measuring device (Hellström et al. ’88; Brenner et al. ’90; Kuhlen et al. ’95; Kuhlen et al. ’96; study II). The technique would then be clinically available for routine analysis at bedside. Today, some manufacturers offer $P_{0.1}$ measurement as an integrated function of the ventilator (Hamilton Medical AG, Rhäzüns, Switzerland and Dräger, Lübeck, Germany). Single measurements are performed through manual intervention. The obvious advantage with this facility, except the access to a standard measuring device instead of laboratory equipment, is the possibility of respiratory output estimates in connection with clinical patient care; selecting appropriate support mode, weaning and patient monitoring. The problem could be that measurements are qualitatively inferior in comparison to a laboratory system, due to a presumably poorer mechanical performance of the ventilator (see study II).

Provocation of ventilatory adjustments

*Normal and abnormal regulation*

Normal breathing at rest is characterized by a considerable variability, both intra- and inter-individual (Lenfant ’67; Hlastala et al. ’73; Burki et al. ’77; van den Aardweg and Karemaker ’91; study I). This is hardly surprising considering the complexity of the regulatory system and its ability to respond to all kinds of sensory information. When there is an increased demand, *e.g.* from exercise,
the system has a great potential to adapt rapidly within a large ventilatory range. In fact, the strategy of an individual respiratory CPG to respond to and compensate for changing conditions is also a respiratory variable.

For the clinician, it is sometimes important to know the status of the respiratory controller, to judge the function of the respiratory system and to select the correct strategy of treatment. Aberrations can be caused by injuries, disease and environmental factors. Heredity can be important as there is a considerable variation among individuals. Some differences between racial groups are reported (Beral and Read '71; Cruz and Zeballos '75), while influence of sex and age are difficult to discern (Scott and Burki '90).

Control theory

Any system which contains feedback mechanisms is subject to an oscillatory behavior. An analysis of the natural fluctuation of respiratory variables reveals a superimposition of cyclic variations of several frequencies (Lenfant '67). The multiple feedback loops and the interaction between respiratory variables affects this variability in a complex way (Hlastala et al. '73; Patil et al. '90).

According to control systems theory, a “deterministic disturbance”, i.e. an externally introduced input, can be applied in order to investigate the function of a regulator. The disturbance can be applied as a step change, a ramp or a brief pulse, whatever might appear most appropriate depending on circumstances (Brogan '90). Such artificial challenges to breathing are often performed in studies of the respiratory system.

Occlusion pressures at rest are typically small and variable, and reflect the irregularity of tidal volume. It is therefore natural that many investigators of breathing choose some form of forced breathing, such as inhalation of CO₂, hypoxia or exercise, in their studies (Milic-Emili et al. '77).
Interference from measurement

It is well known that respiratory variables are influenced by the measuring process. In theory, all measurements interfere, as they draw energy from the process they measure. Influence of the measuring equipment, e.g. added impedance or compliance, may cause confusion in the interpretation of respiratory monitoring (Elliot and Topulos '90). Experiments in the physiology laboratory or clinic are influenced in other ways too. Most respiratory experiments are performed with the subject breathing through a mouthpiece and wearing a noseclip. The effect of shifting the breathing route from nasal to oral (noseclip) normally results in decreased respiratory rate, by prolongation of the expiratory time, and an increase in tidal volume and respiratory drive (Gilbert et al. '72; Douglas et al. '83; Weissman et al. '84; Maxwell et al. '85). The use of a mouthpiece can increase ventilation, and this effect can disappear if the mouthpiece is narrow (Weissman et al. '84).

It is believed that the effect of shifting breathing route can be explained by altered receptor activity in the upper airways and the low resistance of the oral airway. If there is an added external dead space from the respiratory apparatus, it can also influence the breathing pattern. Breathing through a standard facemask seems to interfere less with the breathing pattern (Maxwell et al. '85; Western and Patrick '88). The oral breathing route seems to be less suitable for compensating inspiratory flow-resistive loads (Nishino and Kochi '94). As for occlusion pressures, there is no difference (Scott and Piquette '93).

The behavior of the subject can be crucial. Many measurements on patients at rest suffer from an increased ventilatory drive and hyperventilation. The subject should cooperate, and in the same time be relaxed and diverted from thinking on his or her breathing. Focusing on breathing increases tidal volume and decreases frequency (Western and Patrick '88). Relaxation feedback, on the other hand, when the subject is taught to relax muscles and can follow the results, reduces the response to hypercapnia, in
ventilation, respiratory drive and respiratory rate (Holliday and Veremakis '99).

The posture of the subject must also be considered. The end-expiratory lung volume tends to decrease during CO₂ rebreathing in a sitting position. This is less so in a more supine posture, when FRC is smaller to begin with. This is advantageous for occlusion pressure measurement (volume dependent) (Milic-Emili et al. '75). It also seems that the inter-individual variability in the hypercapnic response is less in the supine position (Lederer et al. '77). A semi-recumbent position is often used for practical reasons. Posture does not seem to influence the ventilatory response to CO₂ (Rigg et al. '74).

**Chemical loading**

The term “chemical” in this context can be misunderstood. Even if the chemoreceptors of the respiratory system are sensitive to different stimuli, the term here refers to stimulation by changing the inspired concentrations of CO₂ and O₂.

Stimulation with CO₂ is probably the most common method to study the regulation of respiration. The rebreathing technique, originally proposed by Haldane and Smith 1892, utilizes the intrinsic production of CO₂ from the subject's own metabolism by connecting the subject to a rebreathing system. In total rebreathing, the PCO₂ in the airways will rise progressively in a ramp-like fashion, inducing an increasing ventilatory response, which is more or less linear as a function of PCO₂. The CO₂ sensitivity is measured as the gradient of the response parameter (ventilation, respiratory drive etc) as a function of PCO₂ (ΔR/ΔPCO₂). A standard procedure (Read '67; Read and Leigh '67) uses a rebreathing bag of approximately the same size as the lungs, that is prefilled with a gas mixture where the initial PCO₂ is close to the PCO₂ of mixed venous blood. The bulk of the mixture is O₂ to eliminate hypoxic stimulation. The purpose is to establish a rapid (< 30 s) equilibrium between end tidal, mixed venous blood and arterial blood PCO₂, that closely reflects the conditions at the central chemoreceptors. This
test is a very robust provocation of respiratory control, which, if continued for 4 min as suggested, brings the subject to an extremely hypercapnic situation, from which it takes time to recover and return to the control level.

An alternative to rebreathing is normally to switch to continuous inhalation of a gas mixture with a fixed concentration of CO₂, such as 2 or 4 %, in a two-way breathing valve set-up. This corresponds to a step-wise introduced stimuli and has been extensively used. The ventilatory response (ΔV/ΔPCO₂) can be more moderate, making the test more tolerable for a normal subject. A disadvantage is the relatively slow dynamic response of chemoreceptivity, i.e. the time to reach steady-state level, 10-20 min, with time constants depending on CO₂ concentration, the measured respiratory variable and whether it is an on- or off-transient (Reynolds et al. '72; Gelfand and Lambertsen '73).

A “true” step stimuli to the central controller could be achieved only by enforcing the end tidal CO₂ concentration to a step waveform, through an inspired CO₂ wave which has an impulse-like on-transient, and with end tidal O₂ held constant (Swanson and Bellville '75; DeGoede et al. '85). Steady-state in ventilation is reached within 5 min, but this “dynamic end-tidal forcing” technique requires complex instrumentation.

In another method, CO₂ is injected at a constant flow. This makes stimulation independent of ventilation, and transients becomes shorter (Fenn and Craig '63; Jacobi et al. '87).

Some investigators have used a “pulse stimulus” approach, i.e. subjects are exposed to short (30 s) periods of CO₂ inhalation, occurring repetitively (Jacobi et al. '89a and Study IV). The stimulus is thus of limited effect and the ventilatory response has a transient character. The response can be measured as the peak or the integral change in relation to the corresponding PCO₂ stimulus. For a given CO₂ concentration, the response is very small in comparison with the previously described methods. The advantage is that interference with the subject is hardly noticed, and the effect can be integrated by summing results of consecutive pulses of CO₂.
As with other respiratory characters, there is a considerable intra- and inter-variability in CO₂ sensitivity measurements, favoring statistical methods in analyzing results (Strachova and Plum '73; Goodman and Black '85; Goodman and Curnow '85).

The hypoxic ventilatory response is normally much faster than the hypercapnic one, since it is mediated by the peripheral chemoreceptors only, and since the O₂ stores of the body are much smaller than the CO₂ stores. It is more difficult to measure the hypoxic response, as a hypoxic stimulation normally is confused by hypocapnic and alcalotic inhibition when CO₂ is washed out. To neutralize the effect of PCO₂ reduction, the end tidal PCO₂ can be regulated by adding pure CO₂ to the inspired air (isocapnic hypoxia). The sensitivity to O₂ can then be measured in a steady-state manner, breathing from an inspired hypoxic gas mixture, for instance diluting air with nitrogen (Weil et al. '70). The hypoxic response can also be measured in a rebreathing test that is similar to CO₂ rebreathing (Kronenberg et al. '72; Rebuck and Campbell '74). The subject reduces airway PO₂ by consuming O₂ from the rebreathing bag. PCO₂ is held constant by a variable flow “scrubbing” circuit in the system containing a CO₂ absorber. The inspiratory activity (occlusion pressures) in response to flow resistive loading can be studied in combination (Kelsen et al. '76).

A simple test of the peripheral chemoreceptor tonic activity is a “dejours” hyperoxic test (Dejours et al. '58). The effect of a sudden switch to breathing pure O₂, which will off-load the oxygen drive and decrease ventilation, is studied.

**Mechanical loading**

The respiratory response to a mechanical challenge is of great importance for appropriate ventilation. This type of challenge is not only a naturally occurring phenomenon, that the central controller has to cope with to avoid obstructions and keep the airways open. It is also an essential problem in several diseases. The challenge can furthermore be elicited from the respiratory equipment connected to a patient by changing pressure or impedance conditions.
Mechanical loads include elastic (compliance), resistive (flow resistance), pressure and threshold (opening pressure) loads. Whether the effect is during the inspiratory or expiratory breathing phase is of course crucial.

Mechanical loads are primarily mediated to the central controller via peripheral pressure sensor endings in the upper airways (Sant’Ambrogio et al. '95). Other intrinsic factors, such as pulmonary receptors and chest wall proprioceptors, also give afferent information on impedance conditions. The dynamic response is much faster than for loads that are mediated by the central and peripheral chemoreceptors. Adaptation to a change in mechanical loading can be accomplished within a few breaths (Campbell et al. '61; Altose et al. '75, '79) and mechanoreceptor reflexes are active on a within-breath basis (Akahoshi et al. '01; Malhotra et al. '02).

Inspiratory resistive and negative pressure loads augment the respiratory drive but depress the ventilatory response to chemical stimulation (Kryger et al. '75; Altose et al. '76; Lopata et al. '77b; Tan and Simmons '81; Im Hof et al. '86; Poon '89). Inspiratory assistance (negative resistance) and pressure support has approximately the reverse effect, but it seems to be more difficult for the central controller to compensate for this support (Poon et al. '87; Gallagher et al. '89; study II-III).

Medical treatment and breathing

Clinical care, ventilator treatment and weaning

The need for and the possibilities to monitor various parameters in medical care have accelerated in the last decades. This is also the case for respiration and the assessment of respiratory drive (Tobin '88; Macnaughton '97; De Backer WA '98). The interest for advanced monitoring of respiratory activity might be found in the operation room, the intensive care department, the pulmonary medicine clinic, the neonatal department and other related areas.
Modern intensive care ventilators are sophisticated instruments. If a ventilator’s interference with pulmonary mechanics earlier has been associated with added dead-space and breathing resistance, there is now a possibility to add or subtract loads according to a strategy of treatment, aiming at a reduced ventilatory assistance and maintained and augmented spontaneous breathing (Hachenberg ’96; Rathgeber ’97).

Furthermore, measuring and monitoring capabilities during ventilator treatment have increased, making assessment of the patient’s pulmonary function possible (Macnaughton ’97). In this context, occlusion pressure should be seen as one parameter of interest. An adequate P_{0.1} has often been suggested as a predictor for successful weaning from ventilation, sometimes in combination with other parameters (Herrera et al. ’85; Montgomery et al. ’87; Sassoon et al. ’87; Soma et al. ’88; Conti et al. ’92; Gandia and Blanco ’92; Sassoon and Mahutte ’93; Nava et al. ’94; Capdevila et al. ’95; Mergoni et al. ’96; Hilbert et al. ’98; Vallverdu et al. ’98). Essentially, a high P_{0.1} value indicates a labored pulmonary system and an increased risk of subsequent weaning failure.

P_{0.1} is also suggested as a useful parameter to help in setting the appropriate individual level of pressure support ventilation in patients with acute respiratory failure (Perrigault et al. ’99). It has been proposed that P_{0.1} values be maintained below 3.5 cm H₂O, corresponding to a work of breathing less than 0.75 J/l, for optimal ventilatory assistance (Marini et al. ’86; Alberti et al. ’95). Other combinations of ventilator modes has been suggested to obtain P_{0.1} values close to normal (Appendini et al. ’99). The P_{0.1} parameter may also help assess the effects of PEEP ventilation and “titrate” a correct level in COLD patients with an intrinsic PEEP (Mancebo et al. ’00).

**Pharmacology and neurotransmission**

Numerous drugs affect respiration (Hedner ’83). Their involvement in the respiratory CPG is extremely complicated and only partly understood. The following is mainly based on Bianchi
(‘95). Voltage ion dependent channels, as well as ligand operated and G protein mediated receptor systems are involved. Substances such as GABA, glycine, ACh (via nicotinic receptors) and glutamate are likely involved in the fast neurotransmissions in the respiratory CPG. Substances such as ACh (via muscarinic receptors), serotonin, substance P and somatostatin modulate respiratory activity through their slower activation of G proteins, and are neuromodulators.

Some examples: Muscarinic receptors are thought to be involved in chemosensitivity. Dopamine acts on the carotid bodies. The well-known depressant effect of opioids can be a result of both altered drive and timing (Drummond ‘84). The opioid neuropeptides influence the glutamatergic augmentation (both NMDA and non-NMDA receptors) of the respiratory discharge pattern. GABA and glycine are important in the inhibitory reciprocal control between respiratory neurons.

The complex neural interaction offers numerous possibilities for influence on respiration of pharmaceuticals. Naturally, the depressive effect of anesthetics has been of great interest in many studies. For instance, enflurane affect ventilation more than other inhaled volatile anesthetics (Derenne et al. ’76; Lindahl and Johannesson ‘87; Murat et al. ’95; Tanaka et al. ‘01). Barbiturates decrease the ventilation by means of an altered \( \text{T}_v / \text{T}_{TOT} \) ratio (Goldberg and Milic-Emili ’77). Epidural morphine depresses the \( \text{CO}_2 \) response in both \( \text{V}’ \) and \( P_{0.1} \) (Doblar et al. ’81). Fentanyl influences both minute ventilation, end tidal \( \text{CO}_2 \) and ventilatory response to \( \text{CO}_2 \) (Morisot et al. ’89). Alfentanil has similar effects (Conti et al. ’02).

The effect of ketamine is controversial and was investigated in combination with alfentanil in study V. Flumazenil reverses the ventilatory depression of benzodiazepines (Clergue et al. ’81; Gross et al. ’96) and aminophylline is a ventilatory stimulant (Sanders et al. ’80). The neuromuscular relaxation of curarization does not necessarily impair \( P_{0.1} \) as could be expected (Holle et al. ’84).
Disease and breathing

CNS and neuromuscular disorders

Abnormalities in the neural control of ventilation are seen in a variety of pathologic states commonly seen in critical care. Understanding interaction between drive and performance will hopefully lead to better understanding of the common pathologic processes (Malkoff and Borel '94).

Experiments of nature, such as tumors and strokes within the brainstem, has afforded some information; breathing is often affected surprisingly little while awake, and more severely during sleep (Nattie '99). In presenile dementia, such as Alzheimer’s disease, lacking compensation to an added inspiratory resistive load has been reported (Derderian et al. '88). Two respiration-related CNS diseases that are discussed further below are congenital hypoventilation and sudden infant death syndromes.

In many neuromuscular disorders, such as poliomyelitis and myopatic diseases, the ventilatory response to CO₂ is depressed, but this does not in all instances imply an abnormal central control mechanism. Many patients with neuromuscular diseases have a normal respiratory drive (P0.1) but a low ventilation because of abnormalities in muscle function and neuromuscular transmission (Johnson and Kazemi '94). Increased respiratory drive and a faster breathing can be observed in patients with neuromuscular disease, which might be explained by the increase in neural afferent information from lung and/or rib cage (Scano et al. '93).

Lung disease

The poor ventilatory response to inhaled carbon dioxide in connection with lung disease is since long known (Brodovsky et al. '60). It is presumed that mechanical alterations of the respiratory apparatus have a fundamental role in the eventual build-up of CO₂ retention in patients suffering from severe chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (COPD). A chronic hypercapnia in turn is believed to cause a decreased chemosensitivity (response in
respiratory drive to CO₂) and further CO₂ retention (Lourenco and Miranda '68; Altose et al. '77; Gelb et al. '77; Tardif et al. '93), even if there are contesting reports (Montes de Oca and Celli '98) indicating a more unspecific neuroventilatory coupling failure.

In the normocapnic lung patient, however, especially the asthmatic, an increased respiratory drive is found, possibly to compensate for the airway obstruction (Cosgrove et al. '76; Zackon et al. '76; Neumeister et al. '96). These abnormalities are there even if the respiratory drive assessment can give lower values, due to exceptional volume and pressure conditions in the sick lung as discussed above (Marazzini et al. '77; Marazzini et al. '78).

The hypoxic ventilatory response is reduced in asthma (Hudgel et al. '79; Smith and Hudgel '80) and in the already hypoxic COPD patient (Bradley et al. '79) but compensated by an increased drive in asthmatic children (Morrill et al. '81). The hypoxic response is better preserved, in comparison to the hypercapnic response, but it is complex and depends on pattern and intensity of exposure (Powell et al. '98; Mitchell et al. '01). The chronic response to hypoxia includes growth of the carotid bodies and attenuation of their sensitivity, which may contribute to the deterioration in COPD (Bee and Howard '93).

Interstitial pulmonary disease is also associated with an increased respiratory drive (Launois et al. '91), and it has been suggested to use resting \( V/P_{0.1} \) as a clinical index to differentiate between normal subjects and patients with lung disease (Scott and Burki '90).

One interesting observation is the decreased variability of breathing in patients with restrictive lung disease (Brack et al. '02), which we also found in study III.

The presence of breathlessness and dyspnea is strongly associated with an increased drive, indicating mechanical impairment (Burki '79). The strategy should be to keep respiratory drive within a reasonable range to control the perception of dyspnea. Determining the response to chemical and mechanical stimuli will likely become important for predicting sensitivity of alarm reactions in patients.
with lung disease (Hida '99; Marin et al. '99). Treatment alternatives to affect respiratory drive and perception of apnea in the lung patient include bronchodilators, corticosteroids, oxygen treatment, lung volume reduction surgery, pressure support ventilation, chest wall vibration (Hida '99) and maybe acupuncture (Neumeister et al. '99).

Sleep disorders

During sleep, ventilation is normally decreased. The reduction is more pronounced during REM (rapid eye movement) sleep, when there is a parallel reduction in respiratory drive (Douglas et al. '82a). Furthermore, there is a decrease in the ventilatory response to hypoxia and hypercapnia during sleep, and in the REM stage only about one third of the awake value (Douglas et al. '82b; Douglas et al. '82c). The respiratory drive is dominated by the chemical control, and in this regard there is no difference between REM and non-REM sleep (Meza et al. '98).

Most observations support the hypothesis that poor load compensation for an increased upper airway resistance contributes to the hypoventilation characteristic of normal sleep (White '86; Schwartz et al. '88; Wiegand et al. '88; King et al. '00). The activation of upper airway dilator muscles is primarily regulated through the intrapharyngeal pressure, with a fall in responsiveness during sleep (Malhotra et al. '00; Malhotra et al. '02), especially in men (Pillar et al. '00).

Respiratory related sleep diseases are characterized by one or several of the following: episodes of upper airway obstruction, sleep apneas, blood oxygen desaturation and hypercapnia.

Patients with OSAS (obstructive sleep apnea syndrome), which occur in both adults and children, do not exhibit the normal increase in neural drive to compensate for inspiratory flow-resistive loading (Rajagopal et al. '84; Marcus '00). The tendency of collapse of an unstable upper airway is aggravated by many drugs and alcohol (Dawson et al. '97).
Indexes that quantify the central inspiratory drive, such as $P_{0.1}$ reflect the activation of upper airway dilators in both OSAS patients and normals (Series et al. ’89a, b). Fluctuations in the drive to breathe may adversely affect the upper airway patency and facilitate upper airway closure and obstructive apneas (De Backer ’98). Better understanding of the mechanical properties of the upper airway in healthy subjects and patients should help in the development of new therapeutic strategies (Wheatley and Amis ’98).

CSA (central sleep apnea) is a group of diseases that can be divided in two types; one is hypercapnic and related to hypoventilation or neuromuscular disease, the other has no identifiable underlying disorder. The common feature is recurrent episodes of sleep apnea related to withdrawal of the wakefulness drive to breathing (Bradley and Phillipson ’92). Instability in the breathing pattern is the main underlying mechanism and is due to the interaction of many factors (De Backer ’95).

The congenital central alveolar hypoventilation syndrome, CCHS, otherwise known as Ondine’s curse, is a rare neuropathologic syndrome characterized by hypoventilation and periods of prolonged apnea. It is thought to represent a defect in central chemoreceptor function resulting in an inadequate respiratory drive (Strauser et al. ’99), but the potential genetic and/or neuroanatomic basis of the syndrome is not known (Shirasawa et al. ’00; Spengler et al. ’01).

Sleep disorders are often treated with pressure support methods (McNicholas ’97) Acetazolamide may have effect in CSA (De Backer ’95).

**SIDS**

The mechanisms underlying the sudden infant death syndrome (SIDS) are not yet understood. Chemosensitivity seems to be normal, but there might be aberrations in the defense to mechanical loads (Lewis et al. ’88; Milerad and Hellström ’93) and in the interactive cardio-respiratory control (Hunt ’92; Katz-Salamon and Milerad ’98). SIDS risk is enhanced by the prone sleeping position (Fleming et al. ’90), exposure to nicotine (Nachmanoff et al. ’98),
thermal challenges and possibly other factors. The current view is that of an infant compromised by neural deficits and developmental delay in particular defense functions, such as arousal mechanisms, switch of sleeping state, the gasping function and the interaction between breathing and cardiovascular systems. This will create a handicap to compensate momentary challenges, with possibly fatal results (Harper et al. '00a).
Methods and materials

Setting

The occlusion pressure technique was brought to the department of medical engineering from the environmental physiology lab at Karolinska Institutet by professor Dag Linnarsson in the late 1980s. The methodology that was originally used at the environmental physiology lab is described elsewhere (Linnarsson and Hesser '78; Lind et al. '84). The interest at the department of medical engineering initially focused on the technique to perform brief occlusions and breath-by-breath measurements. Our resources were naturally engineering skills, e.g. programming, electronic and mechanical design. In addition to the Royal Institute of Technology in Stockholm, the department has close links with both Karolinska Hospital and Huddinge University Hospital. Special cooperation in parts of this project was established with some clinics at the Karolinska Hospital (the intensive care unit, the anesthesia department and the children’s clinic) and Huddinge University Hospital (the intensive care unit, the anesthesia department, the pharmacology lab and the lung clinic).

Direct measurements

Pressure

Mouth pressure was recorded at the two-way valve or Y-piece, via a polyvinyl chloride tube (inner diameter 3 mm), by a 1) Validyne CD12-C transducer (Validyne Engineering, Northridge, CA; study I), 2) AutoTran series 600 transducer (AutoTran Inc, Eden Prairie, MN; study V, VII) and 3) Siemens SV 900 C build-in pressure transducers (Siemens-Elema, Stockholm, Sweden; study II-III, IV, VI). These transducers are all of high quality and have excellent
precision. Careful calibration and observance to a zero level drift, due to changes in ambient temperature, yield an accuracy better than 0.1-0.2 cm H$_2$O.

Flow

Flow recordings were made in the inspiratory limb by means of 1) a Fleisch type No 2 pneumotachograph (study I-II), 2) a venturi type transducer designed at the department (adult size orifice 10 mm; study V, VII) and 3) the Siemens SV 900 C built-in transducers (strain gauge type; study II-IV, VI). The differential pressures from the Fleisch and venturi transducers were measured with the Validyne and TransAuto pressure transducers, respectively. Compensation for the quadratic characteristics of the venturi transducer was made in the software. Calibrations were performed with a 3 l syringe (Hans Rudolph, Kansas City, MS), aiming at an accuracy better than 5 %.

CO$_2$ concentration

Respired CO$_2$ was measured by infrared capnography with a 1) Datex Normocap CO$_2$ & O$_2$ Monitor (Instrumentarium Corp, Helsinki, Finland; study I), 2) Engström Eliza Duo (Stockholm, Sweden; study II), and 3) Datex Oscar Oxy (Instrumentarium Corp, Helsinki, Finland; study III-VII). These instruments all use sidestream technique. The sampling flow, 100-200 ml/min, drawn from the Y-piece, was compensated for in the breath volume calculations. The end tidal value was defined in the software.

Breathing phase

Switches between breathing phases were determined from the operation of the occlusion valve. Valve opening was determined by means of 1) a light emitting diode (LED) and photo diode (study I-II, Figure 2), 2) directly from the inspiratory trigger signal which is available from the Siemens SV 900 C (study II-IV, VI), or 3) the
changes in the “vacuum” pressure signal (study V, VII; see “Equipment” below). The preceding occlusion time (see below), was included in the duration of inspiration. Start of expiration was determined similarly from the closing of the occlusion valve (study I-II, V, VII) and opening of the expiratory valve (study II- IV, VI).

**Mechanical load**

The setting of the inspiratory airway pressure was retrieved from the Siemens SV 900 C (study II-III). Access is achieved from the ‘PEEP level’ output.

**P_{0.1} and calculations**

*The present algorithm*

The calculation of P_{0.1} has to our knowledge been unique to this project. The algorithm was chosen as a consequence of our interest to measure on every breath without interfering with the breathing pattern. It differs from the customary technique (Whitelaw et al. ’75), in the definition of the start and the end of the measuring interval, and that the occlusion can vary in duration. If the occlusion has a constant duration of 0.1 s, it would constitute an increasing share of the inspiratory time when breathing becomes faster or augmented. This represents an increasing risk for influence on the breathing. If the occlusion is terminated when a specified negative inspiratory threshold, instead of time, is exceeded, the load on the inspiratory effort would be limited and constant.

Another problem with the customary technique is the determination of onset of inspiration. Our experience of an often slowly increasing initial part of the pressure curve suggests that it is actually impossible to determine the time of onset with precision (Figure 1). Investigators have in reality defined the onset in terms of a pressure drop, *i.e.* a threshold, anyway – if they did not use
laborious subjective estimates by eye. The value of this “onset threshold” has not been standardized, making the application of $P_{0.1}$ determination a bit unclear.

The proposed method in study I is based on a pre-set negative threshold pressure, at which the occlusion valve is opened irrespectively of breathing effort (Figure 1), and on determination of $P_{0.1}$ on every breath. The threshold should be minor, and from experiments it was concluded that about one cm H$_2$O is appropriate. The occlusion time will then be adapted to the actual breathing effort; it can be less or more than 0.1 s.

As a consequence, the calculation of $P_{0.1}$ must be modified to yield estimates of $P_{0.1}$ only from the pressure signal preceding the valve opening. The present algorithm gives the slope of the pressure drop from linear regression of pressure versus time and presents results as the pressure drop per 0.1 s. The pressure and time interval for the regression is 30-90 % of the opening threshold pressure. This interval eliminates both the onset problem and influence of potential signal artifacts in connection with the valve opening.
Calculated parameters

Several parameters in addition to $P_{0.1}$ are calculated from the directly measured variables. These are:

- Occlusion time, $T_O$, calculated as the time between the zero pressure intercept of the occlusion pressure regression line and valve opening (see Figure 1).
- Inspiratory breathing time, $T_i$ ($T_0$ included).
- Expiratory breathing time, $T_E$.
- Breath duration, $T_{TOT}$, equals $T_i + T_E$.
- Breathing frequency, $f$, equals $60/(T_i + T_E)$.
- Tidal volume, $V_T$, is the integral of air flow during inspiratory time, corrected for the $CO_2$ sampling flow.
- Minute ventilation, $V_i$, equals $f$ times $V_T$.
- End tidal partial pressure of $CO_2$ in the airways, $ETCO_2$. Determined from the $CO_2$ signal.
- A few other special parameters were calculated in some of the studies.

Statistical methods

Minor editing of raw data was performed, eliminating extremely shallow (< 100 ml) and short breaths that obviously could not represent ‘normal breaths’. Intra- and interindividual comparisons were made with Student’s t-test or a generalized ANOVA model. Non-parametric tests (Wilcoxon signed ranks and one-way Friedman ANOVA) were preferred when assumptions for normal and symmetric distributions were not fulfilled. The SPSS and Statistica software were used for the evaluations. As customarily, a P-value < 0.05 was considered significant.
Equipment

Data acquisition

In our first equipment, here denoted Lab1 (study I-II), signals from the transducers were A/D converted on a laboratory designed circuit at a rate of 100 Hz and fed into a personal computer for further analysis. They were also backed up on a MR30 FM tape recorder (Teac Corp., Japan; study I-II), and later monitored on a Data Precision type Data 6000 signal analyzer (Analogic Corp., Danvers, MA), to perform manual determinations of P0.1, for comparison. The later prototype Respimeter (RM) used a PC30 A/D card (Eagle Tech, Cape town, South Africa; study V, VII) for data acquisition, and the RM20 used a Multifunction PCMCIA-1200 Card (National Instruments Corp., Austin, TX). As for the Siemens SV 900 C (study II- IV, VI), the analogue signals from the ventilator’s transducers were fed into a Servo Computer Module, SCM 990 (Siemens-Elema AB, Stockholm, Sweden). Irrespective of data acquisition, the analysis and presentation have been performed on personal computers.

Application of occlusions

The most common technique to occlude at inspiration is a manual stop-cock or an electronic shutter, the latter often controlling an inflatable balloon in lumen. The function should be silent, and, especially in the case of breath-by-breath measurement, have minimal influence on the breathing pattern. We therefore developed a combined inspiration and occlusion valve of a unique design (Figure 2; study I). In its passive mode, it is equivalent to a standard one-way valve, having an operating resistance of < 1 cm H2O l-1 s. As an occlusion valve (active), a negative pressure is applied to the valve, and the amplitude of this “vacuum” determines the threshold to opening. When the threshold is exceeded, the valve again works as a standard inspiratory valve: both the resistance and the “vacuum” normalizes within milliseconds. The first design (Lab1, Figure 2) incorporated a light emitting and photo diode to
Figure 2. Cross section of combined inspiratory and occlusion valve. Explanations: a) inspiratory line; b) retainer cage for spring; c) to pressure sensor; d) to vacuum source; e) circular channel, where vacuum is created when inspiratory valve is closed; f) to CO2 analyzer; g) expiratory line. The thick solid line indicates light from light emitting diode to photo diode (study I).

detect valve opening; this was later determined from the “vacuum” signal.

Working with this valve design, we soon realized that a ventilator, such as one we were using in other projects, in its spontaneous breathing modes, performs occlusions that can be used for P0.1 measurements with our algorithm. This led us into a clinical application of occlusion pressure measurements in addition to our dedicated equipment. The ventilator occludes the inspiratory line until a negative pre-set trigger pressure is exceeded in much the same way as our occlusion valve. The valve is in this case a pincer acting on a silicone duct, and the inspiratory pressure is actively controlled from the machine (the servo function). The servo control makes the concept of resistance during inspiration problematic (it is in theory zero) and the experience of a mechanical load is instead
dependent of the dynamic performance of the regulator. Moreover, mechanical loads can be applied from the machine - negative or positive inspiratory pressures - by a simple change of settings, and the resulting occlusion pressures in response to these loads can be studied.

A dedicated device

Our first instrument (Lab1), was a typical laboratory equipment. Regular high quality pressure and flow transducers were combined with the two-way valve described above. The equipment, including electronics and a capnometer, was integrated in a rack configuration on a trolley. A personal computer and a tape recorder completed instrumentation.

A later prototype (RM, Figure 3) was made in a series of three apparatuses. Pressure transducers and valve control components were mounted on a designed circuit board, included in a light weight case. The RM is powered and controlled from a personal computer, communicating via RS232 and the PC30 A/D card.

Figure 3. Measurements with the RM apparatus (in the background, on top of a Datex patient monitor) in a pharmacological study (V).
A third and modernized version (RM20, Figure 4) was made upon a request from Pharmacia Upjohn. Ten apparatuses were sold to the company for pharmaceutical research. The RM20 have a modernized software and data acquisition, and is smaller than the RM. It has not been used in any of the papers presented in this dissertation.

A ventilator

The Servo Ventilator 900 C (Siemens-Elema AB, Stockholm, Sweden, Figure 5) was chosen for our studies, as brief occlusions are in fact applied on every breath in its spontaneous breathing modes (CPAP and Pressure support) before it is triggered by an inspiratory effort (pressure trigger). The pre-set trigger level is controlled by the knob “Trig. Sensitivity below PEEP”. The airway pressure signal is available from the ventilator.

Furthermore, a negative inspiratory pressure load is easily applied by adjusting the PEEP level below zero (expiratory pressure is not affected), and a positive inspiratory pressure load is applied using the pressure support mode. These easily available mechanical
challenges are achieved because this ventilator functions as a pressure regulator (servo). It supplies gas to the patient from a high pressure reservoir in response to a feedback from the airway pressure, which is measured in the inspiratory limb of the ventilator.

The SV 900 C is not unique in this sense, since all state-of-the-art ventilators work in a similar way. Our choice was based on the fact that we had access to the equipment, and that this is a common and clinically available ventilator on a world-wide scale. Our hypothesis was simply: can the ventilator replace a dedicated device to determine respiratory drive?

Critical factors would then be the speed of the regulator and other mechanical limitations, in comparison with the laboratory equipment. Our preliminary tests showed a high static expiratory resistance. To compensate for this in our studies (II-IV, VI), we removed the expiratory flow transducer and replaced the narrow silicone duct in the expiratory valve with a low-resistance latex hose. To minimize for slow regulator responses, the airway pressure measurement was extended from inside the ventilator to the “Y-piece” at the subject, and the working pressure was increased.
Later models of ventilators probably have better mechanical performance and signals available digitally. We have made preliminary studies on the Siemens SV300 (not included in this thesis) to retrieve breath-by-breath $P_{0.1}$. One question was whether it can be performed on a ventilator that is flow-triggered, i.e. the inspiration starts when a certain flow level is exceeded (see results below).

**Breathing interface**

Customary mouth breathing through a standard mouth piece was used in all studies except V and VII, where a face CPAP mask (Vital Signs, Totowa, NJ), allowing both mouth and nose breathing, was used.

**Software**

We have in our studies written our own software for data acquisition, analysis and presentation, working with standard personal computers. For the original laboratory equipment, BASIC programming language was preferred (Microsoft Corp), in combination with fast assembler routines for data acquisition (Lab1; I-II). For the SV 900 C platform, C programming (Microsoft C) was chosen (II- IV, VI), which suited the serial link with the SCM 990. For the RM prototype, C programming was complemented with an assembler kernel, to communicate with the A/D card. The software for the RM20 prototype is C++ (Borland), with the data acquisition software NI-DAQ (National Instruments, v6.7), to communicate with a PCMCIA Card (plug-in, for laptop computers).

One objective has been that the operator can follow the breathing process closely during experiments. Therefore the software design – data collection, calculation of secondary parameters, storage on a hard disk and presentation on a monitor – aimed at a real time working mode; results are presented on the screen breath-by-breath, or at the end of a procedure.
After experiments, results have been analyzed in different ways. The data files have been read into spreadsheet programs (Quattro, Excel), suitable to check, sort and make simple statistical calculations on breaths. Advanced statistical analysis has been performed in dedicated statistical packages.

Subjects and procedures

The experiments have mainly been made on healthy male volunteers (I-V). Males were preferred to avoid influence of menstruation cycle dependent hormones (Tatsumi ’99). The subjects, who were ignorant of the purpose of the studies, were instructed to refrain from caffeine and nicotine before experiments. A comfortable, sitting or semi-recumbent position was mostly used. Exercise experiments were performed sitting on a cycle ergometer (II). The subjects were trained to the equipment before measurements started. They were listening to tape-recorded light stories or music via ear-phones to distract them from thinking about their breathing. The number of participants in the studies was mostly close to ten, which might be at the low end to discern a possible difference between experimental conditions, due to intra- and inter-individual variance in breathing variables. The dependence on the statistical analysis was thus essential. The studies were approved by the Ethical Committee of Karolinska Hospital or Huddinge University Hospital, and all subjects gave their informed consent.

For details of the experimental protocols, please refer to the specific paper.
Figure 6. Setup of experiments. Subjects were spontaneously breathing on a ventilator, which was used to assess the breathing parameters. In the first test (a), pure CO₂ was administered in pulses with 30 seconds duration. A valve, that was controlled by the ventilator, opened the CO₂ inflow at inspiration and closed it at expiration. PCO₂ and airway pressure were recorded from the mouth-piece (study IV). In the second test (b), the subjects were re-breathing an O₂-N₂-CO₂ mixture. The ventilator was connected in a "bag-in-a-box" arrangement (illustrated in the dashed box; study IV, VI).

Challenges to breathing

CO₂ administration

The response to CO₂ stimulation have been investigated in the majority of the studies (IV-VII). All three types of provocations mentioned in the background have been used, i.e. rebreathing (IV, VI), step changes (VII) and transient pulses (IV, V).

In study IV, the customary rebreathing technique (Read ’67) was adapted to breathing on a ventilator. In essence, the challenge must be halted at an early stage, as the high ventilation levels normally reached with this method cannot be achieved on this ventilator. The experimental set-up for applying rebreathing and the pulse method,
while breathing on a ventilator (study IV), is shown in Figure 6b. As illustrated, rebreathing was performed in a bag-in-a-box arrangement. It should be noted that the subject is not breathing through the ventilator; it is only used for the flow- and pressure measurements.

The modified rebreathing was compared to an alternative pulse method (Jacobi et al. '89a, b), which also was modified and adapted to breathing on a ventilator (IV; Figure 6a). The aim was to find a less interfering test of the chemosensitivity. This technique was also used in study V, but then adapted to the dedicated apparatus RM (Figure 3). In short, pure CO₂ at a fixed flow is mixed with the inspiratory air flow during short (30 s) periods, in a repetitive manner. The transient response to these CO₂ pulses is measured as the peak or an integral of the ventilation before the next pulse. The response to a single pulse is small and variable, and believed to be more representative of the normal chemoregulation in comparison to rebreathing. This response can be averaged during a number of pulses to increase sensitivity.

The step change technique can be performed with a minimum of instrumentation. It was chosen for study VII.

**Mechanical loading**

The response to mechanical provocations was investigated in study II and III. It was based on the possibilities coming naturally with breathing on a ventilator; loads can be applied by simply adjusting the pressure control (see Equipment above). The response to mechanical stimuli reflects the activity of the central respiratory controller in a similar way as chemical stimulation. But as it affects other sensor mechanisms, the response is much faster.

Effects of positive and negative pressure loads (from - 6 to +6 cm H₂O) on breathing were investigated in study II. In study III, we were interested in the response to very small stimuli, closer to the natural control, and used a technique with very brief pulses, similar to the technique with pulses of CO₂ (study IV). The subjects were breathing on the SV 900 C, while the inspiratory pressure was
alternating between 0 and −2 cm H₂O, each level during 2 minutes. In study VII, a simple inspiratory resistance was applied by adding a 150 cm ventilator tubing to the inspiratory line.

Drug infusion

In study V, we investigated the ventilatory effect of two anesthetic agents in combination: alfentanil and ketalar. The major problem was the influence of ketamin; whether it would act as a stimulant or a depressant in the combination, in a dose range of interest for intensive care and pain-clinic setting. A plasma concentration of 50 ng·ml⁻¹ of alfentanil was established through computer-controlled infusion. Then, a series of escalating ketamin levels (50, 100, 200 ng·ml⁻¹) were established similarly. The breathing was studied with the RM apparatus, using pulses of CO₂ for measurement of chemosensitivity.

The computer-controlled infusion (“Stanpump”; Scott et al. ’85; Shafer et al. ’88) aimed at a stable plasma concentrations in relation to the metabolic elimination. The pumps used for infusions were a Harward 22 Basic Syringe Pump for the Stanford program (Harward Apparatus, South Natick, MA) and a Syringe Minder-90 (KanMed, Järfalla, Sweden). Target level were later determined with chromatographic methods.

Effects of disease

SIDS: As mentioned in the background, there is still confusion on the causes of sudden infant death syndrome, SIDS. In a study not included in this thesis, we compared ventilatory responses to step changes in inhaled O₂ and CO₂ and negative inspiratory pressure (NIP) load in three groups: a) fathers of victims of SIDS, b) fathers of children that had experienced attacks of apnea and c) fathers of newborns with no reports of abnormal breathing (control). A SV 900 C ventilator was used to assess the respiratory parameters. The hypothesis was that victims of SIDS inherit an abnormal strategy of breathing from their parents.
**COPD:** Chronic obstructive pulmonary disease, COPD, is a classic field for $P_{0.1}$ studies. The reason is the possibility to differentiate between central and peripheral causes of breathing deficiencies. In study VI, we used our methodology in a prospective investigation on a group of 21 lung patients at Huddinge University Hospital. The aim was to study the long term development in these patients, the pattern of nocturnal hypoxemia ($S_pO_2 < 90\%$), the sleep quality, the respiratory drive and the $CO_2$ sensitivity. This was followed up by another study, not included in this thesis, on the effect of long term oxygen treatment (Sandek et al. '01).

A SV 900 C ventilator was used to assess the respiratory variables, while the patients were sitting in their wheelchairs. The resting level pattern was first recorded, and then a rebreathing session was performed.

**Prader-Willi syndrome:** The Prader-Willi syndrome, PWS, is a neurogenetic disorder in children. Among various symptoms of PWS, daytime somnolence and sleep apnea may occur. It has been shown that growth hormone, GH, has a positive effect on the growth and behavior of these patients (Lindgren et al. '97, '98). In study VII, the effect on breathing specifically was investigated in 9 PWS patients, before and after 6-9 months of GH treatment. The RM apparatus was used, and breathing was provoked by changes in inhaled $O_2$, $CO_2$ and inspiratory resistance.
Results and discussion

Characteristics of breathing

Our experiments confirmed the large variability in breathing at rest. In study I, the coefficient of variation in the measured respiratory variables ranged during the various conditions between 16 and 35%. Analysis of variance revealed that $P_{0.1}$ had the highest and $T_{TOT}$ had the lowest variation of the measured variables. Of course a coefficient of variation in the order of 30% renders intermittently obtained data less reliable than time averaged breath-by-breath data.

When the occlusion valve was switched between passive mode and active (retrieving $P_{0.1}$), and the latter between two levels of threshold pressure, it was apparent that the influence of the measurements on the breathing pattern was marginal, if any. Tidal volume, ventilation, breath duration and end tidal CO$_2$ did not differ between conditions. An analysis of variance of the time-course of $P_{0.1}$ values recorded immediately after activation of the occlusion valve could not differentiate between the first “loaded” breath and the following ten ($P_{0.1}$ can not be determined when the valve is in its

![Figure 7. Time course of $P_{0.1}$ following the onset of 1.5 cm H$_2$O inspiratory threshold pressure at rest. Ensemble means of 12 transients for each of 9 subjects. Breath no. 1 is the first occluded breath. 95% confidence intervals for means are indicated.](image-url)
passive mode), indicating no change after activation (Figure 7).

Still, inspiratory duration decreased slightly and expiratory duration had a tendency to increase as the valve became active. This is opposite to what could be expected from a mechanical load. The difference might be an artifact from the way to determine the respiratory start and the more distinct closure of the valve when it is active.

Another finding is that \(P_{0.1}\) became higher when the threshold pressure was raised from 0.7 to 1.5 cm H\(_2\)O. This could be interpreted as a response to a mechanical stimulus, but then again in contrast to the time-course analysis above. It is more probable that this is a consequence of a nonlinear pressure-time relation during occlusions (see Assessment of inspiratory activity in Background). We believe that the shape of the occlusion pressure curve normally is convex upwards, having an increasing gradient to the time axis. This can explain the results with different threshold pressures, and also the result of manual determinations falling between these.

It is not obvious what is a normal \(P_{0.1}\) value at rest. There is a great variation in the literature of \(P_{0.1}\). We believe that this variation reflects an underlying variation in experimental conditions, e.g. mechanical properties of the equipment, resting conditions during measurements, selection and position of the subjects, and the definition of “onset” of inspiration. This is not crucial, though, since what is normally measured is a possible change from an already preset experimental condition, using the same instrumentation.

**Equipment**

The laboratory equipment of study I, Lab1, was used as a reference in comparison with breathing on the Servo Ventilator 900 C in study II, see Table 1. From an analysis of variance, it was concluded that the breathing pattern at rest was the same in the
Table 1. Breathing on the ventilator in comparison with a dedicated low-resistance breathing circuit (Lab), mean ± SD (n = 9). Rest and dynamic leg exercise (50 W). Determinations were made on consecutive breaths, with inspiratory thresholds either 0.7 or 1.5 cm H2O. Significant differences between the ventilator and the dedicated laboratory equipment are indicated (a, b, c for p < 0.05, 0.01, 0.001, respectively, generalized linear model, repeated measures). Breath parameters: occlusion time (T0), duration of inspiratory and expiratory phases (Ti and Te), breathing frequency (f), tidal volume (Vt), minute ventilation (V), end tidal value of airway carbon dioxide concentration (ETCO2) and respiratory drive (P0.1).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Threshold</th>
<th>0.7</th>
<th>1.5</th>
<th>0.7</th>
<th>1.5</th>
<th>1.5</th>
<th>1.5</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>T0 [s]</td>
<td>0.10±0.03</td>
<td>0.19±0.06</td>
<td>0.13±0.06</td>
<td>0.17±0.06</td>
<td>0.09±0.03</td>
<td>0.08±0.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ti [s]</td>
<td>1.77±0.46</td>
<td>1.77±0.38</td>
<td>1.43±0.28</td>
<td>1.41±0.26</td>
<td>1.83±0.35</td>
<td>1.50±0.35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Te [s]</td>
<td>2.71±0.62</td>
<td>2.75±0.69</td>
<td>2.73±0.63</td>
<td>2.86±0.70</td>
<td>2.53±0.54</td>
<td>2.26±0.47</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>f [min⁻¹]</td>
<td>13.85±2.52</td>
<td>13.69±2.40</td>
<td>14.94±2.77</td>
<td>14.55±2.52</td>
<td>14.20±2.58</td>
<td>16.60±3.49</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vt [l]</td>
<td>0.65±0.12</td>
<td>0.65±0.09</td>
<td>0.62±0.09</td>
<td>0.63±0.08</td>
<td>1.54±0.28</td>
<td>1.43±0.24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VT [l/min]</td>
<td>8.90±1.31</td>
<td>8.79±1.49</td>
<td>9.26±1.33</td>
<td>9.29±1.50</td>
<td>21.39±1.75</td>
<td>23.29±1.41</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ETCO2 [%]</td>
<td>4.93±0.58</td>
<td>4.87±0.70</td>
<td>4.50±0.46</td>
<td>4.16±0.68</td>
<td>5.04±0.49</td>
<td>5.36±0.39</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P0.1 [cm]</td>
<td>1.05±0.29</td>
<td>0.98±0.29</td>
<td>0.70±0.17</td>
<td>0.84±0.21</td>
<td>2.95±0.93</td>
<td>1.54±0.30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H2O</td>
<td>0.29±0.17</td>
<td>0.29±0.17</td>
<td>0.17±0.17</td>
<td>0.21±0.21</td>
<td>0.93±0.30</td>
<td>0.30±0.30</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

ventilator except for inspiratory duration and P0.1. Furthermore, an increased threshold pressure did not create significant changes.

During exercise (50 W), the difference between the laboratory equipment and the ventilator was more apparent. In addition to inspiratory duration and P0.1, there were moderate differences in expiratory and frequency, ventilation and end tidal CO2. The ventilator obviously exerts a mechanical load on breathing. It is minor and not easily discerned at rest, but as ventilation increases, it is disadvantageous for the ventilator as a measuring device. It can be explained by the inertia of the pressure-regulator of the ventilator and the resistance in the expiratory line. But if the
airway pressure is measured close to the subject and the expiratory resistance can be reduced, the performance of the ventilator is acceptable in a lower ventilatory range.

The fact that the coefficients of variation was somewhat lower breathing in the ventilator, except for P_{0.1}, can also be interpreted as a sign of a mechanical load.

The SV 900 C is an older model, and it is reasonable to believe that modern ventilators with improved technology have better mechanical performances. This has not been investigated in this project. What is known, though, is that modern ventilator technology adds additional complication as regards continuous P_{0.1} determination. The use of a combined flow and pressure triggered start of inspiration may impede proper occlusion pressure recordings. Our preliminary tests show that the ventilator has to be run in the pressure trig mode to retrieve P_{0.1} (Mårsell '99).

It should also be mentioned, when some ventilator manufacturers incorporate a P_{0.1} option in their machines, that the results of our studies suggest a continuous, breath-by-breath determination of this variable, in preference to an intermittent manually imposed retrieval. One reason is the high variability, the other is the possibility to follow the breathing function over time, as part of the patient monitoring.

From the laboratory equipment Lab1, another two generations of devices were designed: The Respimeter (RM) was used in study IV and VII, and the RM20, which has not been used in this project. Contrary to Lab1, the Respimeters are small and portable and incorporate a modernized and more user-friendly software. But the occlusion valve technology and the low-resistance character is the same. The Respimeter is normally run with another more simple type of flow transducer (venturi), which due to its nonlinear characteristics needs signal processing and a more careful calibration.

The use of a CPAP face mask instead of a mouth piece is a more comfortable patient interface. But it is a modification of instrumentation that might slightly affect results, as it allows a
nasal breathing route, represents a small increase in dead space and compliance, and a possible source of leakage if mounted incorrectly.

**Mechanical loading**

It has already been discussed, whether the breath-by-breath determination of $P_{0.1}$ in our design could influence its own results. Anyway, the occlusion pressure technique is an interference by definition, even though measurements can be performed “unnoticed”, which was shown in study I.

In study II, mechanical loads were exerted on breathing at both rest and exercise. Negative and positive inspiratory pressures were applied in a randomized pattern from the SV 900 C. The compensatory mechanisms to the mechanical stimulus is illustrated in Figure 8. A negative inspiratory pressure resembles a resistive load (like breathing through a straw) and a positive inspiratory

![Figure 8. Relative changes of central inspiratory activity ($P_{0.1}$) and ventilation ($Vt$). Mean ± standard error of mean ($n = 9$) for responses to inspiratory pressure loading in percent of individual controls without loading.](image-url)
pressure resembles a resistive unload (can only be performed from some laboratory equipments or by breathing Helium). But one principal difference is that a pressure load more specifically affects the inspiratory activity per se; there is not much trade-off in a change of respiratory timing, which there is breathing against a resistance. This could be seen as an advantage if the purpose is to study the sensitivity of the central respiratory controller. The advantage, in comparison to breathing CO₂, is the rapid ventilatory response and the simple accomplishment on the ventilator.

The regulation in response to small mechanical stimuli was investigated in study III. The transient response to these negative inspiratory pressure (NIP) pulses could not be directly seen in the breathing pattern, as it was smaller than the natural variability. But ensemble-averaging of six consecutive NIP pulses, gave the results of Table 2, where “Normal” is the no NIP period and “Stimulated” represents an integrated average during the NIP sequence period. The “Baseline” represents measurements without any mechanical loads (control). Analysis of variance revealed, that there was no measurable response in P₀.₁ to these small stimuli, resulting in decreased ventilation during pulses. Since this is in contrast to the results of study II, where the respiratory drive increased, we conclude that there is a sensitivity threshold in the range −2 to −3 cm H₂O for NIP.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Ventilation</th>
<th>CV⁶</th>
<th>P₀.₁</th>
<th>CV⁶</th>
<th>ET₇CO₂</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Baseline</td>
<td>9.28 ± 1.50</td>
<td>0.19</td>
<td>1.06 ± 1.31</td>
<td>0.51</td>
<td>5.21 ± 0.43</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NIP</td>
<td>8.30 ± 1.11</td>
<td>0.10</td>
<td>1.14 ± 0.49</td>
<td>0.22</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Normal</td>
<td>9.53 ± 1.33</td>
<td>0.12</td>
<td>1.19 ± 0.42</td>
<td>0.23</td>
<td>5.25 ± 0.50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stimulated</td>
<td>8.91 ± 1.18</td>
<td>1.16</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

⁶Coefficient of variation

Table 2. Breathing response to repeated pulses of negative inspiratory pressure (NIP), presented as mean and standard deviation in respective intervals. Baseline: no pressure load; Normal: between loads; Stimulated: integrated average during full NIP sequence.
More interesting maybe is that from these data, that do not deviate from the normal range of flows and pressures in thorax, there was a significant reduction in the variability in both ventilation and respiratory drive during NIP periods. This is similar to what happens in a patient with restrictive lung disease, such as pulmonary tuberculosis or pneumonitis (Brack et al. ’02), and physically a natural consequence of an increased stiffness of the lungs. Patients with obstructive lung disease, on the other hand, has an increased coefficient of variation. This finding suggests that a lung dysfunction can temporarily be simulated in a patient with NIP loading. NIP can be regarded as an artificially imposed reduction of the lung compliance (decreased dV/dP), whereas a resistive load adds resistance to the system.

This is an interesting example of how mechanical loads affect other physiological mechanisms than chemostimulation does.

Carbon dioxide sensitivity

The sensitivity to CO₂ was measured in study IV-VII. In IV, rebreathing and the pulse method were adapted for the SV 900 C ventilator. In V, the pulse method was used with the RM. In VI, rebreathing was used with the ventilator. And in VII, the steady-state method was used with the RM.

The rebreathing method, which was presumed unsuitable for breathing on a ventilator, gave results that matched the literature references surprisingly well (Table 3). This was in spite of the fact that, due to the mechanical limitations of the ventilator, rebreathing was in average halted in about half the time recommended (Read ’67). In the present application, calculations are only based on the linear portion of the ventilation versus PCO₂ relation, i.e. after 30 s of equilibration and before the curve is bending following the increased mechanical load of the ventilator.

The subjects were also exposed to the CO₂ pulse method, while breathing on the ventilator. The major difference to rebreathing,
Table 3. Rebreathing CO₂ on a ventilator. Comparison of response, measured as gradient (linear increase in response to change of airway concentration of CO₂) in inspired ventilation (V\textsubscript{I}), and respiratory drive (P\textsubscript{a}V).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>$\Delta V_I / \Delta P_{CO_2}$</th>
<th>$\Delta P_{a}V / \Delta P_{CO_2}$</th>
<th>No of subjects</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>This study</td>
<td>23.5 ± 10.8</td>
<td>2.3 ± 1.7</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Read (1967)</td>
<td>20.1 ± 9.2</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cherniack \textit{et al.} (1976)</td>
<td>22.7 ± 13.9</td>
<td>4.6 ± 0.8</td>
<td>14</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

is that the transient ventilatory response to the CO₂ pulses never brings ventilation far from the control baseline level. This can be seen in Table 4, where another difference is also obvious: rebreathing has an impact on respiratory timing as well. This represents a change from range 1 to range 2 in respiratory control, according to Clark and von Euler ('72). The probably most relevant effect parameter for the pulses is the Stimulated value, representing an integrated average of the response during the pulse period, but it can also be problematic if there is a drift in the baseline of ventilation (Jacobi \textit{et al.} '89b).

Comparing three possible ways to stimulate with CO₂, the rebreathing method has the highest sensitivity (expressed as $\Delta V_I / \Delta P_{CO_2}$), and the pulse method has the lowest sensitivity (which can be understood from the fact that the ventilatory response lags behind the, transient, change in P\textsubscript{CO₂}). The steady-state method is intermediate, depending on the chosen concentration of inhaled CO₂. It is slow but reliable and easy to perform. The pulse method is presumably more representative of normal regulation, closer to control. It does not impose great challenges on either the patient or the equipment. It probably primarily reflects the regulation of the peripheral chemoreceptors, due to the rapid and transient character of the stimulus. With the pulse method, it is also possible to follow
Table 4. Change of breathing in response to CO₂. Summary of experiments with intermittent pulses of CO₂ and re-breathing, mean ± standard deviation.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>T1</th>
<th>TE</th>
<th>T1 / TTOT</th>
<th>VT</th>
<th>V̇̇l</th>
<th>P0.1</th>
<th>eTCO₂</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>[s]</td>
<td>[s]</td>
<td>[l]</td>
<td>[l]</td>
<td>[l]</td>
<td>[cm H₂O]</td>
<td>[%]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Baseline</td>
<td>1.66</td>
<td>2.53</td>
<td>0.40</td>
<td>0.61</td>
<td>9.1</td>
<td>1.03</td>
<td>5.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>± 0.39</td>
<td>± 0.62</td>
<td>± 0.05</td>
<td>± 0.08</td>
<td>± 1.7</td>
<td>± 0.58</td>
<td>± 0.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>From pulses</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stimulated value</td>
<td>1.63</td>
<td>2.40</td>
<td>0.41</td>
<td>0.64*</td>
<td>10.1*</td>
<td>1.20*</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>± 0.36</td>
<td>± 0.58</td>
<td>± 0.05</td>
<td>± 0.07</td>
<td>± 1.7</td>
<td>± 0.64</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Peak value</td>
<td>1.65</td>
<td>2.32*</td>
<td>0.42</td>
<td>0.85*</td>
<td>13.4*</td>
<td>1.68*</td>
<td>6.0*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>± 0.31</td>
<td>± 0.72</td>
<td>± 0.05</td>
<td>± 0.14</td>
<td>± 2.9</td>
<td>± 0.92</td>
<td>± 0.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Re-breathing</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>First 3</td>
<td>1.46*</td>
<td>2.02*</td>
<td>0.42</td>
<td>1.00*</td>
<td>17.5*</td>
<td>1.27*</td>
<td>7.2*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>± 0.26</td>
<td>± 0.54</td>
<td>± 0.06</td>
<td>± 0.16</td>
<td>± 2.8</td>
<td>± 0.87</td>
<td>± 0.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Last 3</td>
<td>1.38*</td>
<td>1.60*</td>
<td>0.47*</td>
<td>1.83*</td>
<td>36.9*</td>
<td>2.96*</td>
<td>8.2*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>± 0.19</td>
<td>± 0.38</td>
<td>± 0.05</td>
<td>± 0.35</td>
<td>± 5.1</td>
<td>± 0.83</td>
<td>± 0.6</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* indicates significant changes in respect to baseline (p < 0.05, Wilcoxon).

T_i: inspiratory time; T_e: expiratory time; T_{i}/T_{TOT}: duty time; V_T: tidal volume; V̇̇l: inspiratory drive; P_{0.1}: respiratory drive; eTCO₂: end tidal airway concentration of carbon dioxide; Baseline: before stimulation; Stimulated value/Peak value: integrated average/maximal value during pulse sequence; First 3/Last 3: average of the first/three breaths of linear portion during rebreathing.

changes in the CO₂ sensitivity in a continuous, monitoring way.

Our conclusion is that all three methods to stimulate with CO₂ can be adapted to breathing on a ventilator. Considering the relatively high quality of measurements in modern advanced ventilators, this is surely an option for the clinical setting, which is poorly recognized and used. The possibilities to study ventilatory response to CO₂ is of course also open with a dedicated device, such as the Respimeter (study V, VII).
Effect of drugs

The major finding of our experiments with drug infusion in study V is illustrated in Figure 9. As subjects received a stable alfentanil plasma concentration, their ventilation decreased significantly. The depressant effect was neither reflected in the inspiratory activity \textit{per se} (P_{0.1}) or the sensitivity to CO$_2$. The difference was in timing: a prolongation of expiratory, but not inspiratory duration. This caused an increase in end tidal CO$_2$ and a reduced S$_p$O$_2$.

The effect of the escalating levels of ketamine infusion was the opposite; it reversed the alfentanil-induced changes in breathing. This effect was not present in the placebo group of this double-blind study. It can only be speculated whether the reversal effect is a result of a supra-pontine arousal – all subjects experienced probable ketamine-related side-effects – or a drug-related change at the receptor-level in specific respiratory pathways in the CNS.

It should be observed that the effects shown are very much related to the chosen plasma concentrations. Higher or lower doses could yield different results. One conclusion of our studies (II, IV-V) may be that the depressant drug alfentanil primarily acts on respiratory timing, whereas chemo- and mechano-stimulation (see

\begin{figure}[h]
\centering
\includegraphics[width=\textwidth]{Ventilation_L/min.png}
\caption{Minute ventilation in all subjects in period 1 (baseline, no drugs), period 2 (only alfentanil, 50 ng ml$^{-1}$) and period 5 (left pane: alfentanil plus placebo; right pane: alfentanil plus ketamin, 200 ng ml$^{-1}$; study V).}
\end{figure}
above) primarily acts on the respiratory drive. But with an increasing dose, other effects are added. To illustrate this further, a case trial is shown in Figure 10, where the subject received a bolus of 0.5 g alfentanil and the sensitivity to consecutive CO₂ pulses was recorded. This also illustrates the possibility to monitor time-courses with the present technique.

**Disease and breathing**

**SIDS**

From our investigation on fathers of SIDS infants it was found that they did not differ from controls in their ventilatory response to hyperoxia and to hypercapnia. However, the SIDS fathers did not increase respiratory drive and maintain minute ventilation in the same way as controls during negative inspiratory pressure loading. It was suggested that a disability to compensate for added inspiratory loading carry an increased risk of SIDS in the offspring (Milerad and Hellström ’93).
COPD

Study VI (Figure 11) showed that nocturnal hypoxemia may persist unaltered for at least one year without the development of daytime hypoxemia. Important defense mechanisms, maintaining high minute ventilation and preventing progressive sleep-related hypoxemia, may be a superficial sleep in combination with frequent sleep stage changes and a high CO₂ sensitivity.

The study confirmed that COPD patients tend to preserve their respiratory drive in response to CO₂ (dP_{0.1}/dTCO₂ was 1.8 cm H₂O kPa⁻¹ during rebreathing), which was close to normal controls (2.3 cm H₂O kPa⁻¹). But they suffer from a strongly reduced ventilatory response (dV/dTCO₂ was 3.7 l min⁻¹ kPa⁻¹), in comparison to normal controls (23.6 l min⁻¹ kPa⁻¹). In the sub-group, that eventually developed daytime hypoxemia (PaO₂ < 8 kPa), the lung function was poorer, and their ventilatory response to hypercapnia was virtually absent (0.5 l min⁻¹ kPa⁻¹).

Figure 11. Setting of rebreathing while breathing on a ventilator (study VI). The COPD patients were sitting in their wheelchairs while breathing in a “bag-in-a-box” arrangement (see also Figure 6).
In a follow-up on the effect of long term oxygen treatment of hypoxemic COPD patients (Sandek et al. '01), there were few changes during the six months treatment. A poor ventilation/perfusion ratio and a probable shunting were contributing factors for the reduction of PaO₂. The hypercapnic ventilatory response, which was about one fifth of normal in these hyperinflated patients, is a major determinant of the PaCO₂ level. Also in this patient group, there is no evidence of a central dysfunction in the CO₂ sensitivity. The baseline resting P_{0.1} was actually higher in the patient group, which is the presumed normal response to the mechanical impairment.

*Prader-Willi syndrome*

The effect of growth hormone, GH, on breathing in PWS patients was profound (study VII). There was a marked increase in both resting ventilation and central inspiratory drive after 6-9 months of treatment. Most striking was the increase in CO₂ sensitivity, see Figure 12. Still, the very low CO₂ sensitivity, that characterizes PWS was only partly normalized, in comparison to normal subjects. The mechanisms behind this effect of GH is difficult to explain. It can not be understood from pure somatic growth or the obesity of the patients. There was no change in the response to mechanical load or to hyperoxia. It is more likely that GH, like some other hormones, has a specific effect on the central nervous system and the control of breathing, which is not fully understood.
Figure 12. Ventilatory response to 4% CO₂ (steady-state technique) in PWS patients before and after GH treatment. Increase in ventilation expressed as percentage increase from baseline.
Conclusions

It is widely accepted that \( P_{0.1} \) is a valid method to assess respiratory drive in humans, though the practical application is not fully standardized. Measurement of \( P_{0.1} \) is easily performed in comparison to alternative methods. \( P_{0.1} \) complements other respiratory variables, often in connection with measuring the ventilatory adjustment to a disturbance.

The major conclusions of this project are:

- \( P_{0.1} \) measurement is characterized by a high temporal variability, which would favor breath-by-breath measurement.

- Breath-by-breath determination of \( P_{0.1} \) can be performed without influence on the breathing pattern if the mechanical impedance of the equipment is limited. The proposed method in this project is functional in that aspect.

- The proposed method can be implemented while the subject is breathing on a ventilator, as an alternative to using a dedicated device.

- Mechanical loads of the ventilator increasingly influence the breathing pattern at exercise.

- Pressure loading applied from the ventilator can be utilized to study the function of a subject’s respiratory controller.

- Ventilatory adjustments to loads, whether chemical or mechanical, can be achieved in different ways with the proposed method. Breathing on a ventilator was shown to be compatible with all the tested methods for provocation of breathing.

- During alfentanil infusion and lung disease respiratory drive was maintained in spite of an impaired ventilation. It was altered due to mechanical loads and GH treatment of Prader-
Willi patients. Respiratory drive should be regarded as a respiratory parameter in its own right, reflecting an exclusive aspect of breathing.

- Respiratory drive assessment provides added diagnostic or therapeutic values in several specific medical situations, and can be accomplished without a complicated or invasive technique.
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