

## **Errata**

### **Fragility fractures, self-rated health and treatment with a spinal orthosis in older women in primary health care** by Elin Uzunel

ISBN: 978-91-8017-451-0, DOI: <https://doi.org/10.69622/27952221>

This errata document provides corrections to errors identified in my doctoral thesis. The corrections do not alter the overall conclusions of the research. I take full responsibility for these errors.

#### **List of corrections**

<b>Page</b>	<b>Original text. Line.</b>	<b>Corrected text.</b>
1	...that who would benefit...Line 28	...that would benefit...
3	... ökad dödlighet på lång sikt. Line 9.	... ökad dödlighet.
6	...ITT and PP principles. Line 14.	...ITT principles.
9	Entries: 152, 153 and 154	should be removed.
11	...RI Downton fall risk index. Line 9.	...DFRI Downton fall risk index.
12	...BMD pain... Line 30.	...BMD, pain...
14	"established osteoporosis"... Line 11.	"manifest osteoporosis"...
17	...as a positive prognostic marker... Line 9.	...as a prognostic marker...
23	Flow chart: Study population 2 ..women 69-79...	... women 60-93...
24	...five withdrew...Line 17.	...three withdrew...
25	...and one before six months (declined further participation). Line 2.	...and two before six months (one declined further participation and one was lost to follow-up).
26	T-score was used as a continuous variable and a dichotomized variable... Line 4.	T-score was used as a dichotomized variable...
30	...endpoints of the RCT: back extensor strength, pain and spinal curvature, but not in relation to the secondary endpoints... Line 19.	...endpoint of the RCT: pain measured by VAS, but not in relation to the other endpoints.
34	...levels of PTH and IGFBP-1 were included. Line 5.	... levels of PTH and IGFBP-1 were included except for one subject with a very high level of PTH.
36	...previously had an ankle...Line 17.	...previously had a wrist...
37	Median age was 67...Line 15.	Median age was 76...
41	...differences across domains between the groups varied; Line 1.	...differences in HRQoL domains between the intervention groups and controls varied;
42	... compared to training with physiotherapy equipment...Line 15.	...compared to physiotherapy equipment training ...
43	...potentially FRAX... Line 6.	...potentially FRAX, since the interaction terms did not attain statistical significance. However, the rather small cohort size and wide confidence intervals for hip fractures leads to uncertainty regarding generalisability.
44	...influenced our results. Line 8.	...influenced our results. As well as our small study sample and wide confidence intervals.
45	...management of osteoporosis. Line 33.	...management of osteoporosis-related symptoms.
47	Also, the mean values ...Line 7.	Also, the median values...
48	...included participants... Line 27.	...included some participants...
52	...27% increase in back extensor strength in the orthosis group. Line 12.	...27% increase in back extensor strength in the orthosis group and 22% increase in the exercise group.